

**Ideas of Man  
in the Conceptions of the Religions**

—

**Das Menschenbild  
in den Konzeptionen der Religionen**

Akten des VIII. gemeinsamen Symposiums  
der THEOLOGISCHEN FAKULTÄT DER UNIVERSITÄT TARTU,  
der DEUTSCHEN RELIGIONSGESCHICHTLICHEN STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT,  
der ESTNISCHEN STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT FÜR MORGENLANDKUNDE  
und der STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT FÜR THEOLOGIE  
am 2. und 3. Oktober 2009 an der Universität Tartu/Estland

herausgegeben von

Tarmo Kulmar und Rüdiger Schmitt

Forschungen zur Anthropologie und Religionsgeschichte

Band 43

begründet von  
*Alfred RUPP †*

herausgegeben von  
*Manfried L.G. Dietrich – Oswald Loretz*

2012  
Ugarit-Verlag  
Münster

**Ideas of Man  
in the Conceptions of the Religions**

—

**Das Menschenbild  
in den Konzeptionen der Religionen**

Akten des VIII. gemeinsamen Symposiums  
der THEOLOGISCHEN FAKULTÄT DER UNIVERSITÄT TARTU,  
der DEUTSCHEN RELIGIONSGESCHICHTLICHEN STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT,  
der ESTNISCHEN STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT FÜR MORGENLANDKUNDE  
und der STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT FÜR THEOLOGIE  
am 2. und 3. Oktober 2009 an der Universität Tartu/Estland

herausgegeben von

Tarmo Kulmar und Rüdiger Schmitt

2012  
Ugarit-Verlag  
Münster

Ideas of Man in the Conceptions of the Religions /  
Das Menschenbild in den Konzeptionen der Religionen.

Akten des VIII. gemeinsamen Symposiums  
der THEOLOGISCHEN FAKULTÄT DER UNIVERSITÄT TARTU,  
der DEUTSCHEN RELIGIONSGESCHICHTLICHEN STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT,  
der ESTNISCHEN STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT FÜR MORGENLANDKUNDE  
und der STUDIENGESELLSCHAFT FÜR THEOLOGIE  
am 2. und 3. Oktober 2009 an der Universität Tartu/Estland

herausgegeben von Tarmo Kulmar und Rüdiger Schmitt

Forschungen zur Anthropologie und Religionsgeschichte, Bd. 43

© 2012 Ugarit-Verlag, Münster

Alle Rechte vorbehalten

All rights preserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,  
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,  
electronic, mechanical, photo-copying, recording, or otherwise,

without the prior permission of the publisher.

Herstellung: Hubert & Co, Göttingen

Printed in Germany

ISBN 978-3-86835-061-6

ISSN 0341-8367

Printed on acid-free paper

# **The Antediluvian Origin of Evil in the Mesopotamian and Jewish Traditions**

## **A Comparative Study**

*Amar Annus, Tartu*

### **Introduction**

There was a broad tradition in the Babylonian scribal milieu that the seventh antediluvian figure, a king or a sage, ascended to heaven and received insights into divine wisdom. The seventh antediluvian king according to several lists was Enmeduranki, the king of Sippar, who distinguished himself with divine knowledge from the gods Adad and Shamash (see Lambert 1998). Biblical scholars generally agree that the religious-historical background of the figure of Enoch, the seventh antediluvian patriarch in Genesis 5:23f and subsequently the apocalyptic authority in Enochic literature, lies in the seventh Mesopotamian antediluvian king Enmeduranki. The following quotation of John J. Collins conveys the consensus view well:

“The figure of Enoch is to some degree modelled on Enmeduranki, founder of the guild of *bārûs*, or Babylonian diviners. ... Enoch is listed in Genesis as seventh in line from Adam. In the *Sumerian King List*, the seventh king is Enmeduranki or Enmeduranna. Sippar, the city ruled by this king, was a center of the cult of Shamash, the sun god. Enoch is associated with the solar calendar: his age is given as 365 years in Genesis and the Astronomical Book [contained in *1 Enoch*] presupposes a calendar of 364 days. Enmeduranki was also the founder of a guild of diviners and a recipient of revelations. ... Evidently the biblical seventh man emulates the Mesopotamian seventh king” (Collins 1998: 26, 45–46).

Besides Collins, the complexities of the historical connection between Enmeduranki and Enoch have been recently and comprehensively studied by J. Vanderkam (1984) and H. Kvanvig (1988), among others.<sup>1</sup> The recognition has well grown into maturity among scholars, who often also find the traces of Enoch’s Mesopotamian background even in later Enochic materials, such as the

---

<sup>1</sup> See the comprehensive bibliography provided by Arbel 2006: 355 n. 1.

second, Old Slavic book of Enoch, and the third book of Enoch in Hebrew.<sup>2</sup> There is nothing to challenge in this association, except that from the point of view of an Assyriologist it seems that biblical scholars should study and compare the Mesopotamian and Jewish evidence much more systematically than has been done previously. One could cast a much wider net on the Mesopotamian material, in order to glean more insight regarding antediluvian traditions and thereby enrich the comparison. For this objective, it is necessary to expand the textual base of the comparison by using more Mesopotamian evidence than just the famous king-lists, the Gilgamesh epic, and the Enmeduranki text from Nineveh.

One question that immediately arises in regard to the present stage of consensus among biblical scholars is, if religious-historical bonds tie Enoch so neatly with Enmeduranki, and Ziusudra with Noah, how can it be that there is so little else in the two antediluvian histories that can be favourably compared? Some ancient testimonies recognize that the Biblical and the “Chaldaean” accounts of the antediluvian period derive from common sources. For example, Cosmas Indicopleustes, the wandering Nestorian monk of the sixth century AD, indicates that a received knowledge existed in his time that the antediluvian patriarchs in the Hebrew Bible correspond to Mesopotamian kings of the same period in regard to their position in corresponding historiographies. Cosmas’ account in his *Topographia Christiana* 12.3 juxtaposes the biblical patriarchs with the Mesopotamian kings as follows<sup>3</sup>:

“The writers of Chaldaean history, more ancient and living farther east, have mentioned in their works both the deluge and the building of the Tower, since they saw that Tower with their own eyes under the process of construction, being no doubt well aware that the men of that time, in fear of another flood, erected it for themselves as a place of refuge and safety. But the men of later times, when they read Moses also, and found that Noah, in whose time the deluge occurred, was the tenth from Adam, they feigned that they also had ten kings, who had reigned 2242 myriads of years, as has already been said. Of these the first was Aloros, that is, Adam; the second Alaapros, Seth; the third, Almēdōn, Enosh; the fourth, Ammeōn, Canaan; the fifth Ammegalaros, Mahalaleel; the sixth, Daonos, a keeper of sheep, Jared; the seventh, Euedōranchos, Enoch; the eighth, Amempsinanchos, Mathousalah; the ninth, Otiortēs, Lamech; the tenth, Xisouthros, Noah. In his time they say the great flood recorded by Moses occurred.”<sup>4</sup>

<sup>2</sup> See e.g. Orlov 2005: 23–45; Arbel 2006.

<sup>3</sup> My attention was brought to this passage by C. Martone’s recent paper, see Martone 2008. The translation follows that of J. W. McCrindle, *The Christian Topography of Cosmas, an Egyptian Monk. Translated from the Greek, with Notes and Introduction*. (London: Hakluyt Society 1897), p. 377. The Greek text is available in the edition by W. Wolska-Conus, *Cosmas Indicopleustès: Topographie chrétienne*. Sources chrétiennes 197. Tome III (Paris, 1973), 362–365.

<sup>4</sup> Subsequently, Cosmas also ties the myth of Atlantis into this complex: “Timaeus alone, who has been already mentioned, drawing from what source I know not, but perhaps

The names of the Mesopotamian antediluvian kings that Cosmas lists derive from the tradition attested in similar cuneiform king-lists, which were the sources for Berossus. Cosmas may rely on an unidentified fragment of Berossus, but his source seems in any case to be ancient and instructive. Cosmas' account shows that already the ancient scholars were conscious of the congruence between Jewish and Mesopotamian antediluvian histories, and, at the same time, tried to deflect these similarities by stating that the Chaldaeans learned from Moses. It goes without saying that from our contemporary perspective, Mesopotamia clearly provided the model, which the biblical writers quite creatively followed and modified (see Hallo 1996: 1–17).

In addition to Enmeduranki, the Mesopotamian lore surrounding the flood survivor, variously named as Ziusudra, Atra-hasis or Uta-napishti, and his post-flood visitor Gilgamesh, has been discussed by the biblical scholars interested in comparative research (Collins 1998: 46). However, there is more still to do. By combining evidence from philology and textual sources, from iconography and archaeology, one can show that the descriptions of the antediluvian period in Jewish sources depend even more extensively on the antecedent Mesopotamian mythology and ritual practices. In other words, a survey of recent studies in Assyriology enables more comprehensive juxtaposition of the two corresponding historical narratives. Besides well established and famous texts at both sides, one has to seriously consider the variability of the traditions, and also to observe how the beliefs were put into practice in rituals, prayers and incantations.

Varying accounts of the antediluvian history in the ancient Mesopotamian and Jewish sources should be regarded as results of ancient debates. Not only direct borrowings took place, but also creative reinterpretations, especially on the Jewish side. Some of these creative reinterpretations must have occurred as *deliberate inversions* of the Mesopotamian source material. As in the case of later Gnostic mythology, whose authors created a comprehensive body of polemical narratives by employing a form of “protest exegesis” in regard to the Hebrew Bible, the Jewish authors often inverted the Mesopotamian intellectual traditions with the intention of showing the superiority of their own cultural foundations.<sup>5</sup> The present survey will comparatively describe a similar phenomenon, how Jewish authors systematically discredited the Mesopotamian primordial sages (*apkallu* in Akkadian) as the Watchers and Nephilim, while making them a part of their national history. In Jewish reinterpretation, Mesopotamian antediluvian sages became illegitimate and wicked teachers of mankind. Moreover, this demonization process was partly built upon the Mesopotamian

---

from the Chaldeans, recast the story of those ten kings, feigning that they came from the earth beyond the Ocean into the island of Atlantis, which he says was submerged below the sea, and that taking its inhabitants as mercenaries, and arriving in this earth, they conquered Europe and Asia – all which is a most manifest invention, for as he could not point out the island, he gave out that God had consigned to it a watery grave.” The rulers of Atlantis in this account are well comparable to Mesopotamian antediluvian kings and sages, especially to sages, who had both their origin and found their end in Apsû.

<sup>5</sup> As J. Collins points out, the figure of Enoch picks up elements of Mesopotamian mythical heroes in order to show how the Jewish national hero outshone the heroes of Mesopotamia (1998: 46).

traditions themselves, as the *apkallus* were occasionally envisaged as evil beings at least in some Mesopotamian theological quarters, and to inhabit the nether-world (Mallowan 1954: 92). I shall argue that the two histories of the two related species in their divergent versions cover exactly the same ground. A summary of the history of research and my arguments used in the present paper can be outlined as follows:

1. There are two different adaptations of Mesopotamian lore in the book of Genesis in respect to the antediluvian history. One of them is positive and affirmative – the sequence of ten patriarchs before the flood is in accordance with the ten antediluvian kings of Mesopotamian mythology, including Enmeduranki (see Kvanvig 1988). The second adaptation is negative – the antediluvian sages, the Mesopotamian *apkallus* were demonized as the “sons of God”, and their sons Nephilim (Gen 6:3–4), who in later Enochic literature appear as Watchers and giants, illegitimate teachers of mankind before the flood (see *1 Enoch* 6–8). The *Book of Watchers* reconciles these two different adaptations by making Enoch in every respect superior to the Watchers. In so doing, the Jewish authors wanted to depict their national hero as superior to the champions of foreign wisdom.
2. A. D. Kilmer (1987) has already posited Mesopotamian *apkallus* as the model for the biblical Nephilim, indicating in support of her thesis that the sources ascribe to the Mesopotamian antediluvian sage Adapa an act of hubris and daring and wicked deeds were ascribed to some postdiluvian sages, which angered the gods. In Genesis 6, the Nephilim exemplify the wicked antediluvian humankind in general (Kilmer 1987: 40). It did not occur to Kilmer that the Mesopotamian tradition of *apkallus* was deliberately inverted in Genesis, and that the tradition found a full expression in the *1 Enoch*. T. Milik noted the parallel with the Mesopotamian mythology, when he wrote on *1 Enoch* 6:1ff: “The writer imagines two chiefs of the fallen angels, a king (*Šemihazah*) and a sage (*‘Asa’el*), each presiding over about ten Watchers ... thus drawing on a Babylonian model of antediluvian kings and sages” (Milik 1976: 29). The present paper offers an expansion of comparative arguments.
3. As many kinds of Mesopotamian sciences and technologies were ideologically conceived as originating with antediluvian *apkallus*, so both Enoch and the Watchers were depicted as antediluvian teaching powers. According to Berossus, the sage Oannes “gave men everything which is connected with the civilized life” (F1). As the Mesopotamian tradition viewed *apkallus* as ancestors of the contemporary kings, priests and scholars, the *Book of Watchers* possibly used the image of wicked Watchers for criticizing Jerusalem’s cult establishment and its priesthood (Himmelfarb 1993: 27). If the *Book of Watchers* was written as the criticism of Zadokite priesthood and the official cult in Jerusalem, as some scholars have suggested (Boccaccini 1998), one begins to wonder, how Babylonianized the so-called Zadokites may have been during the early Second Temple period.
4. The *apkallus* themselves were sometimes viewed negatively as malicious creatures within the Mesopotamian tradition itself. Among other associations, the *apkallus* had strong ties to Mesopotamian demonology, and they were occasionally counted as demonic and evil beings, capable of witchcraft.

This point of comparison shows that the wickedness of antediluvian teachers of humankind was not wholly an inversion of the Mesopotamian traditions by Jewish scholars, but was partly taken from already existing trends in Mesopotamian demonology.

5. *Apkallus* were often considered as fish-garbed creatures of Ea, who resided in Apsû, the Ocean of Wisdom. The flood punished Watchers and Nephilim. A comparable tradition of the deluge from Mesopotamia survives in the version of the *Epic of Erra*, where Marduk sent the sages down into the Apsû as a consequence of the flood, and ordered them not to come up again (I 147).

6. The names of Gilgamesh, Humbaba, and Uta-napishti occur in different recensions of the *Book of Giants* as names of the gigantic offspring of the Watchers. According to J. Reeves, “this represents a bold polemical thrust against the revered traditions of a rival culture” (Reeves 1992: 126). The fact that a Second Temple Jewish text demonizes some characters belonging to Mesopotamian intellectual milieu, speaks entirely in favour of the idea of the current paper – that deliberate inversions of Mesopotamian traditions was an existing practice among Israelite intellectuals.

7. The “sons of God” in Genesis and the Watchers in Enochic literature are fully divine, as also were the antediluvian *apkallus* in the Mesopotamian tradition. The four post-flood *apkallus* were “of human descent”, which means that *apkallus* could mate with humans, as the Watchers did. The last one of this group of *apkallus*, Lu-Nanna, was only “two-thirds *apkallu*” (Kilmer 1987: 39–40). This exactly matches the status of Gilgamesh in the post-diluvian world, as he also was “two-thirds divine, and one-third human” (I 48). Gilgamesh was remotely related to antediluvian *apkallus*, as he “brought back a message from the antediluvian age” (I 8). In Jewish terms, he was like one of the Nephilim, as exactly the *Book of Giants* depicts him. There is supporting new cuneiform evidence that Gilgamesh was thought of having a gigantic stature, his height being 11 cubits (George 2007: 240 l. 34).

8. Figurines of *apkallus* were buried in boxes as foundation deposits in Mesopotamian buildings in order to avert evil from the house. The term *mas-sare*, “watchers”, is used of these sets of figurines in Akkadian incantations according to ritual texts. This appellation matches the Aramaic term *ʾyryn* “the wakeful ones”, for both good angels and the Watchers.

### **Dissemination of the Antediluvian Knowledge: Lawful or Forbidden?**

Very well attested ancient Mesopotamian intellectual tradition gives to all priestly sciences a divine origin in the antediluvian age. The period before the deluge was the one of revelation in the Mesopotamian mythology, when the basis of all later knowledge was laid down. The antediluvian sages were culture-heroes, who brought the arts of civilization to the land. During the time that follows this period, nothing new is invented, the original revelation is only transmitted and unfolded (Kvanvig 1988: 201). Oannes and other sages taught

all foundations of civilization to antediluvian humankind, as narrated by Berossus.<sup>6</sup>

An important issue reflected upon in the ancient sources in regard to antediluvian knowledge was its survival during the flood and its transmission after it. If only one family escaped from deluge, as was the case in many Mesopotamian as well as Jewish accounts, the flood survivor and his progeny must have regarded as the transmitters of the antediluvian knowledge to post-diluvian times. Apparently, some sources regard the flood survivor as a descendant of the line of divine *apkallus*, without being explicitly equated with them.<sup>7</sup> One of the flood survivor's names in Mesopotamian literature was Atra-hasis, meaning "exceedingly wise", which is also an epithet of the sage Adapa in the Akkadian myth (Izre'el 2001: 9 obv. 8'). In the Epic of Gilgamesh XI 197 we learn that Utnapishti was admitted into the company of the gods only after he had heard their secret lore, and in this context he also bears the epithet *atra-hasīs* (George 2003: 716). The Gilgamesh epic, with its programmatic first line "he who saw the Deep", presents the hero as the transmitter of the antediluvian wisdom to his contemporary world. There were other means of preserving the antediluvian knowledge besides making the flood survivor the divine source of it and his visitor its transmitter. Still another way was to conceive *apkallus* as amphibious fish-like creatures, capable to survive in the depths of water, and to re-emerge from there after the inundation was over. One more way to preserve the knowledge was to inscribe pre-flood wisdom in its entirety on different tablets or stones and either to bury them or to install the knowledge carriers on high places to escape the perdition.<sup>8</sup> In Mesopotamian tradition, such a divine source of information was the Tablet of Destinies, which corresponds to heavenly tablets

---

<sup>6</sup> Berossus, Fragment 1: "In the first year a beast named Oannes appeared from the Erythrean Sea in a place adjacent to Babylonia. Its entire body was that of a fish, but a human head had grown beneath the head of the fish and human feet likewise had grown from the fish's tail. It also had a human voice. A picture of it is still preserved today. He says that this beast spent the days with the men but ate no food. It gave to the men the knowledge of letters and sciences and crafts of all types. It also taught them how to found cities, establish temples, introduce laws and measure land. It also revealed to them seeds and the gathering of fruits, and in general it gave men everything which is connected with the civilized life. From the time of that beast nothing further has been discovered. But when the sun set this beast Oannes plunged back into the sea and spent the nights in the deep, for it was amphibious. Later other beasts also appeared ... He says that these creatures all together explained in detail the things which had been spoken summarily by Oannes" (Burstein 1978: 13–14, 19).

<sup>7</sup> Evidence for this is the priestly appellation *gudu<sub>4</sub>*, which the flood survivor Ziusudra bears in the Sumerian version of the deluge (l. 145). This Sumerian term equates with Akkadian *pašīšu*, literally "the anointed one", which is the priestly title of the sage in the Adapa myth (Izre'el 2001: 9 obv. 9'). That the flood survivor's pedigree was related to Watchers, is also present in some parts of Jewish traditions about Noah (Reeves 1993).

<sup>8</sup> Such variant is attested in Berossus fragment F4b, where "all writings" are buried in Sippar, the city of the Sun god before the flood (Burstein 1978: 20). For Jewish variants, see Jub. 8:3–4; and "Suteans and Sons of Seth" below.

and the *Pargod* in *3 Enoch*, on which the divine secrets are written (Arbel 2006: 372).<sup>9</sup>

There are many cuneiform lists, which preserve the names of the antediluvian sages and kings (see Kvanvig 1988: 159–213). These lists are not consistent, “just as in the case of the antediluvian kings, the names and the order of the antediluvian sages are apparently quite variable” (Borger 1994: 232). The figure of Oannes as the first antediluvian sage in Berossus’ account equals the primary sage, whose full name is Uanadapa in cuneiform lists. U-An and Adapa are the short forms of this name, and from the first form derives Oannes in Berossus’ account.<sup>10</sup> The name Adapa may have been originally an epithet of the sage, meaning “wise”, which secondarily became a name itself (Lambert 1962: 74). The third tablet of the bilingual incantation series *Bīt Mēseri* attests to three names, which appear in various manuscripts for the sage in the seventh position of the list – Adapa, Utuabzu, and Utuaabba, who is said to have ascended to heaven:

“Incantation. U-Anna, who accomplishes the plans of heaven and earth, U-Anne-dugga, who is endowed with comprehensive understanding, Enmedugga, for whom a good destiny has been decreed, Enmegamma, who was born in a house, Enmebulugga, who grew up in a pasture land, An-Enlilda, the conjurer of the city of Eridu, Utuabzu, who ascended to heaven, the pure *purādu*-fishes of the sea, the seven of them, the seven sages, who originated in the river, who control the plans of heaven and earth.”<sup>11</sup>

There was probably a confluence of the first sage Adapa and the seventh king Enmeduranki, who sometimes are both credited with the seventh position in the list, and both receive divine wisdom as the result of direct contact with the gods (Kvanvig 1988: 227). Besides Enmeduranki, the figure of Enoch resembles Adapa as well. In the myth Adapa uttered a curse on the south wind, who had capsized his boat in the Persian Gulf while he was fishing, and so broke its wing.<sup>12</sup> He was summoned to heaven to explain his action, and following the instructions of his divine master Ea, he refused to eat and drink the drugs of immortality offered to him by Anu. He achieved his ascent to heaven as a mor-

<sup>9</sup> At least one Mesopotamian myth, LKA 146, presents the seven *apkallus* as possessors of the Tablet of Destinies, see Denning-Bolle 1992: 50; Lenzi 2008: 122–125.

<sup>10</sup> See the evidence presented and discussed by Denning-Bolle 1992: 44–47.

<sup>11</sup> See the edition in von Weiher 1983: 48 ll. 1–13; the translation here is taken from Borger 1994: 230; see also Denning-Bolle 1992: 48–49.

<sup>12</sup> The historical-literary text “Enmerkar and Adapa”, known from first millennium copies (Foster 2005: 531–32), and a chronicle composed in the form of a fictitious royal letter, make Adapa the sage of the king Enmerkar (Frahm 2010: 93). According to the tradition represented by the Sumerian epic tale “Enmerkar and the Lord of Aratta”, this king invented the cuneiform writing, because the couriers he used were not able to memorize his messages. It is possible that the reason why Adapa is occasionally presented as the sage of Enmerkar, is because he was held to be the mastermind behind king’s invention of the cuneiform script.

tal, and, through the sagacity of Ea, he returned safely to earth from his trip dressed in divine garb, and anointed with heavenly oil (lines 77–80):

“They brought him food of life, he did not eat. They brought him water of life, he did not drink. They brought him a garment, he put it on. They brought him oil, he anointed himself” (Foster 2005: 529).

Enoch’s transformation in heaven according to *2 Enoch* 9:17–19 takes place in a very similar manner, involving the garments and oil as the main elements of assuming divinity. When Enoch arrived in front of the divine throne, the Lord said to Michael:

“Take Enoch and take off his earthly garments, and anoint him with good oil, and clothe him in glorious garments”. And Michael took off from me my garments anointed me with good oil. And the appearance of the oil was more resplendent than a great light, and its richness like sweet dew, and its fragrance like myrrh, shining like a ray of the sun. And I looked at myself, and I was like one of the glorious ones, and there was no apparent difference.<sup>13</sup>

The tale of Adapa has sometimes successfully been applied in interpretation of Gen 2–3 (Mettinger 2007). The similarities should be attributed to their common intellectual background in the ancient Near Eastern folklore. In the Adapa myth there is a human (Akkadian: *zēr amīlūti*), whose wisdom is of heavenly origin, and in contrast to the Genesis story, he does not approach the foodstuffs forbidden to humanity. Therefore he serves as a positive “example” (Akkadian: *rēdu*) for humankind, especially for Mesopotamian scholars (Parpola 1993: xix). Following Adapa, all mythical and historical sages had received their knowledge out of the hands of the gods themselves. Therefore the Mesopotamian diviners did not possess their own wisdom, but their knowledge consisted of the “secrets of gods” entrusted to them (Denning-Bolle 1992: 55–56). The famous “Catalogue of Texts and Authors”, found in the Neo-Assyrian library of Nineveh (K. 2248), ascribes the authorship of many series of priestly wisdom to the god Ea:

“[The exorcistic] corpus, the liturgical series, the celestial omen series *Enuma Anu Enlil*, the physiognomic omens, the omens from monstrous births, the handbook of medical symptoms, [the interpretation] of utterances, the (Ninurta myths) of *Lugale* and *Angimdimma*: [all these] are from the mouth of Ea” (Lambert 1962: 64–65, 70).

It is not said that Ea himself wrote these texts or collections, but that the knowledge contained in these corpora originate “from his mouth” (*ša pī*), that he was the authority for these texts. In the oral tradition of scholars, their role as mediators between gods and men is indicated by the Akkadian phrase *ša pī um-mānī* “from the oral tradition of the masters”.<sup>14</sup> In the catalogue quoted above,

<sup>13</sup> Himmelfarb 1993: 3; the translation is by A. Pennington, „2 Enoch,” in H. F. D. Sparks (ed.) *The Apocryphal Old Testament* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1984).

<sup>14</sup> E.g. in Parpola 1993: no. 8, rev. 2. For colophones, see Hunger 1968: nos. 120, 333, 471, 486.

Ea is followed by the first antediluvian sage Uan-Adapa, to whom is assigned two non-extant scholarly series, and by other sages.<sup>15</sup>

The scribal series were even related to the names of antediluvian sages. Save a few exceptions, the names of the most antediluvian *apkallus* do not have a readily recognizable meaning. In some ritual texts prescribing construction of the figurines of sages, each one has a name beginning with the cuneiform sign UD. Among other readings, the sign can be transcribed into Akkadian as “day”, “storm” (*ūmu*), or “when” (*enūma*). Names beginning with UD or u<sub>4</sub> are particularly given to the group of anthropomorphic figurines called the *ūmu-apkallu*. The names of these sages refer to “days” of exceptional splendour and plenty, the golden age before the flood, as represented in first millennium Assyrian art.<sup>16</sup>

The second reading of the sign, *enūma*, is one of the most common of the literary openings, which usually serve as the titles of cuneiform series. The names of many antediluvian *apkallus* are fairly transparent titles or Sumerian incipits of learned scholarly compendia, or other cuneiform series (Hallo 1996: 6). Giving to the antediluvian sages names resembling titles of scientific treatises served the purpose of establishing the explicit connection between contemporary and primeval scholarship. The scientific compendia in Mesopotamian contexts mostly mean omen texts, the study of which was a special privilege of court scholars. A Neo-Assyrian broken text from Sultantepe contains an apocryphal letter of “Adapa the sage” to Alulu, the first antediluvian king.<sup>17</sup> The letter quotes omens, as contemporary scholars at the Neo-Assyrian royal court were expected to report to kings the observed signs in heaven and earth (see Parpola 1993). In comparison, the names of 20 principal Watchers in *1 Enoch* 6:7 are for the most part derived from astronomical, meteorological, and geographical terms, their names are related to their areas of expertise (Milik 1976: 29). In both cases the names of the antediluvian experts present them as hypostases of learned, and presumably secret, corpora.

The colophons on the tablets of scholarly texts in the first millennium Mesopotamia show that they were considered to contain secret lore, available to

<sup>15</sup> According to van der Toorn 2007: 207–8, “the *Catalogue* lists the works of the cuneiform tradition in their order of presumed antiquity ... it distinguishes three successive eras in the literary production. The earliest group of texts are ‘from the mouth of Ea’, the second group of texts are by sages before the Flood, most notably Adapa, and the third and the largest group of texts are by various postdiluvian scribes and scholars of great repute.” See also Rochberg 1999: 419–20; Lenzi 2008a: 151–52.

<sup>16</sup> Wiggermann 1994: 225. The names given to the statues of 7 *ūmu-apkallus* in the ritual text to be discussed below in this paper, are “day of life”, “day of plenty”, “day of splendor”, “good day”, “fair faced day”, “righteous day”, and “day that gives life to the slain” (Wiggermann 1992: 8–9). On the other hand, a group of monsters and demons also bears the names beginning with UD sign, like the Roaring Day (u<sub>4</sub>-ka-duh-a), or “Big Day” (u<sub>4</sub>-gal/ugallu). They are personified days of death and destruction, like one’s dying day, the “Evil Day” (*ūmu lemnu*, <sup>4</sup>U<sub>4</sub>), the messenger of the underworld god Erra (Wiggermann 1994: 225–26). Because the UD sign can also be read as “storm”, these evil spirits “fill the earth like an evil storm (*ūmu lemnu*), a storm steered from heaven towards earth” as in *Utukku Lemnutu* incantations 4, 15–16 (Geller 2007: 203).

<sup>17</sup> The letter is STT 2:176+185. See Hallo 1996: 7; Denning-Bolle 1992: 51.

scholars alone.<sup>18</sup> The entire corpus of crafts like exorcism (*āšipūtu*), medicine (*asūtu*), omen interpretation (*barūtu*), ritual lamentation (*kalūtu*), and astrology (*tupšarrūtu*) consisted of “secrets of the scholars” (*niširti ummānī*)<sup>19</sup>, and “secrets of the antediluvian sages” (*niširti apkallī*).<sup>20</sup> All texts of traditional Mesopotamian scholarly sciences, both practical and theoretical, were secret documents (see Lenzi 2008: 95–100). One of the colophons, which clearly represents the mythology of scribal succession, reads as follows:

“Salves (and) bandages: tested (and) checked, which are ready at hand, composed by the ancient *apkallus* from before the flood, which Enlil-muballit, *apkallu* of Nippur, bequeathed in Šuruppak in the second year of Enlil-bani, king of Isin. He who does not know may show it to one who knows; one who knows must not show it to one who does not know.”<sup>21</sup>

Thus the genealogy of religious lore and skills was traced back to the wisdom of *apkallus* in its entirety. As the Mesopotamian conception of knowledge was pre-eminently associated with pragmatic kinds of it, the term “wisdom” denotes the realms of technologies and handicraft skills as well (see Pongratz-Leisten 1999: 310). In some royal inscriptions of the first millennium Mesopotamia, references occur to royal craftsmen (*ummānu*), “who know the secret” (see Lenzi 2008: 128–134). Such capable craftsmen like the carpenter Ninildu, the lapidary Ninzadim, the metal worker Ninagal, the stone-cutter Ninkurra, and the goldsmith Kusigbanda were the patron deities of smiths, manifestations of the god Ea, and also identified with antediluvian *apkallus*.<sup>22</sup> All crafts used in royal building and renovation projects was attributed to that of the antediluvian sages.<sup>23</sup> In a Neo-Babylonian building ritual text from Babylon the prayer “When Anu created heaven” (*Enūma Anu ibnū šamē*) is cited, which explicitly says that Ea created these craftsmen in the beginning of time.<sup>24</sup>

By comparison, the *Book of Watchers* 8:1 enumerates the first set of arts forbidden to humanity, which consists mainly of useful crafts and technologies.

<sup>18</sup> For references, see Lenzi 2008: chapter 3.

<sup>19</sup> See Hunger 1968: nos. 98, 519, cf. no. 200.

<sup>20</sup> See Hunger 1968: nos. 303, 328.

<sup>21</sup> Hunger 1968: no. 533, see discussions by Lenzi 2008a: 150; Denning-Bolle 1992: 50.

<sup>22</sup> See Walker and Dick 1999: 62–63 for references. That the royal smiths had access to secret scholarly literature, can be inferred on the basis of SAA 16 65 (see n. 27 below).

<sup>23</sup> For example, the craft of Ninildu and Kusigbanda in the inscription of Nebuchadnezzar II: “[Ma-idda-hedu, (Nabū’s) sacred barge, I sought out, and] had rebuilt. I coated the canopy of *musukkannu*, the eternal wood that befits lordly status, with 12,5 talents of red gold, so embellishing its decoration through the craft of Ninildu and Kusigbanda. I made it splendid as the day and bright as the sun” (George 1988: 147 ll. 19–28).

<sup>24</sup> The prayer is well known from other manuscripts as well: “When Anu created Heaven, (and) Nudimmud (= Ea) created Apsû, his dwelling, Ea pinched off cla[y] in the Apsû, he created the brick god for the renovation [of the temple(s)], he created reed thicket and forest for the making of [your] shape, he created mountains and seas for whatever ... [...], he created Guškinbanda, Ninagal, Ninzadim and Ninkurra for [your] ritual acts.” The text quoted is BE 13897 (= IM 11087), obv. ll. 24–31, see the latest edition by Linsén 2004: 301–305.

This revelation of forbidden secrets was considered a transgression, because it promoted promiscuity and violence. Asael teaches human beings how to make things:

“Asasel taught men to make swords of iron and weapons and shields and breastplates and every instrument of war. He showed them metals of the earth and how they should work gold to fashion it suitably, and concerning silver, to fashion it for bracelets and ornaments for women. And he showed them concerning antimony and eye paint and all manner of precious stones and dyes” (Nickelsburg 1991: 188).<sup>25</sup>

In the *Book of Watchers* 10:8, the author summarily says: “and all the earth was made desolate by the deeds of the teaching of Asael” (Nickelsburg 1991: 215). That the Watchers revealed to humanity secret knowledge of divine origin, is emphasized several times in the *Book of Watchers*. A comprehensive catalogue of revealed secrets is found in the *Book of Watchers* 8:3–4,

“Shemihazah taught spells and the cutting of roots. Hermani taught sorcery for the loosing of spells and magic and skill. Baraqel taught the signs of the lightning flashes. Kokabel taught the signs of the stars. Arteqoph taught the signs of the earth. Shamsiel taught the signs of the sun. Sahriel taught the signs of the moon. And they all began to reveal mysteries to their wives and to their children. (And) as men were perishing, the cry went up to heaven” (Nickelsburg 1991: 188).

When one considers this list of forbidden crafts from the point of view of Mesopotamian priests and scholars, almost everything looks familiar. “Spells and the cutting of roots” are relevant to Babylonian medicine (*asūtu*). The skills taught by Hermani are crafts used in exorcism, *āšipūtu*.<sup>26</sup> Baraqel’s expertise, whose name means “lightning of God”, involves the “signs of Adad”, the meteorological omens on the tablets 37–49 in the series *Enuma Anu Enlil*. The first two long sections of this celestial omen series, the “signs of Sin” (tablets 1–22) and the “signs of Shamash” (tablets 23–36), are taught to mankind in the *Book of Watchers* by the angels with appropriate names, Shamsiel and Sahriel. The “signs of the stars” taught by Kokabel must be a lore related to *Enuma Anu Enlil*’s tablets 50–70, where the planetary omens are dealt with (see Maul 2003: 52–53). Finally, the “signs of the earth”, taught by the angel Arteqoph, are probably not related to geomancy, but to the terrestrial omen series *Šumma ālu*. Arteqoph’s name means “the earth is power” (Milik 1976: 155). To teach such

<sup>25</sup> The sages in the Erra epic I 161 are themselves compared to “choice stones” (*abnū nasqūti*). Some Babylonian texts present the sages Lu-Nanna and Taqīš-Gula as experts on the nature of various stones, see A. Schuster-Brandis, *Steine als Schutz- und Heilmittel*, AOAT 46 (Münster 2008), p. 310.

<sup>26</sup> The Akkadian technical term *āšipūtu* was borrowed into Aramaic as *špt*, which is frequently used in the Book of Daniel. In the Aramaic version of the *Book of Watchers* 7:1, the Watchers teach “sorcery and charms”, where the second word can be read precisely as *špt* (see Nickelsburg 1991: 197). The sorcery is exercised by “the seven sages of Eridu” in the Mesopotamian anti-witchcraft collection *Maqlū* V 104 (Abusch and Schwemer 2008: 163).

divine arts to wives and minors would have been regarded as grievous sacrilege also in ancient Mesopotamia. From a limited body of evidence, one can infer that a severe, “royal punishment” was legislated for a cuneiform scholar if he chose to do so.<sup>27</sup> The categorical imperative in the colophons of tablets not to reveal their content to the uninitiated expresses a genuine security concern of the Mesopotamian scholars (Lenzi 2008: 149–60). Watchers revealing divine secrets to earthly women in exchange for sex must be taken as a hilarious irony, and a testimony of polemical stance of the Jewish intellectuals against their Mesopotamian colleagues.

In any case, many important Babylonian “antediluvian” sciences are well represented in the above catalogue, which can be taken as *pars pro toto* of all important Mesopotamian sciences. If the list is of independent origin, it may be illuminative to note that it contains seven names, in accordance with the seven antediluvian sages. As the Mesopotamian *apkallus*, who “insure the correct functioning of the plans of heaven and earth”, as the *Bīt Mēseri* text describes the duty of antediluvian sages, the full catalogue of angels’ names in *1 Enoch* 6:7 indicates that

“... the chiefs are high angels in charge of the orderly functioning of the heavenly and earthly phenomena: in heaven, not Uriel to be sure, but the angels over sun, moon, stars, shooting stars, thunder, and lightning; on earth, the angels in charge of sea and mountains, as well as the crucial rainy season and its clouds and rain” (Nickelsburg 1991: 181).

The Jewish tradition concerning Watchers was not uniform. There are variant stories that depict their motives and activities more positively. The *Book of Jubilees* preserves a tradition relating that God originally dispatched the Watchers to earth for the purpose of instructing humanity in proper ritual and ethical conduct (Reeves 1992: 96). There is a fair amount of texts, according to which the Watchers were sent by God for the purpose of positively instructing and looking after humanity (see Nickelsburg 1991: 196). For example, the Watchers are treated in *Sibylline Oracles* 1: 87–103 as human beings, the culture-bringers in its second generation. They are portrayed as wise and noble, and their innovations are judged positively as follows: “They practiced skills of all kinds, discovering inventions by their needs. One discovered how to till the earth with ploughs, another, carpentry, another was concerned with sailing, another, astronomy and divination by birds, another medicine, again another, magic. Dif-

---

<sup>27</sup> The Neo-Babylonian tablet YOS 19 110 presents the case, where a certain Bel-kasir, possibly a scholar, had disclosed the content of canonical texts to members of a social class, to whom the acquisition of such knowledge was forbidden. He was given a severe warning, according to the tablet: “If a temple slave goes (again) into his bedroom, and he makes (him) recite the excerpt tablets, then (Bel-kasir) will bear the punishment of the king”. In the Neo-Assyrian letter SAA 16 65 an anonymous authority expresses his concern about a royal goldsmith, who illegally teaches scholarly lore to an unworthy person. The tablet is broken and we do not learn about the measures taken against the goldsmith (Lenzi 2008: 151–55).

ferent ones devised that with which they were each concerned” (Collins 2008: 267).<sup>28</sup>

Instructing humanity was exactly the role of antediluvian *apkallus* in Mesopotamian tradition. Some variant stories of Jewish origin contain other elements that can be called remnants of the Babylonian myth, parts of the popular memory pointing to its origin. Many interesting variants are found in the Jewish medieval *Midrash of Shemhazai and Azael*, one version of which was allegedly a part of the no longer extant *Midrash Abkir*.<sup>29</sup> The episode on angels’ descent in this source features a human girl Istahar, who keeps herself pure by using the angels’ forbidden teaching. The name Istahar, with a variant ’Estêrah from a manuscript in the Bodleian library (Milik 1976: 327) almost certainly derives from the name of Mesopotamian goddess Ishtar, and her ascent to the stars in the story is reminiscent of her descent and ascent myth in the Mesopotamian mythology.<sup>30</sup>

There are more reminiscences of the Mesopotamian origin in other versions of the angelic descent myth. That the Watchers descended to earth with a good intent is in background also in the Aramaic fragment 4Q530 from Qumran, which belongs to the *Book of Giants*. It contains in a broken context (col. ii 7) the reference to “gardeners” (*gnmyn*) at work, nurturing and protecting the trees, which connotes the Watchers prior to their apostasy (Reeves 1992: 95). This reference to “gardeners” is to be compared to the *Book of Jubilees* 5:6, where the God sent the angels to earth, and 4:15 further specifies the reason: “in order to instruct human beings and to act (with) justice and righteousness upon earth.” According to *Jubilees*, only after Watchers’ arrival and sojourn among human beings were they corrupted and led astray by the irresistible beauty of mortal

<sup>28</sup> For a recent commentary, see J. L. Lightfoot, *Sibylline Oracles: With Introduction, Translation, and Commentary on the First and the Second Book* (Oxford: University Press, 2007), pp. 352–361. However, the story of the Second Generation does *not* base on the story in *1 Enoch* 6–11, as the author claims (p. 352), but has a mixed oral background.

<sup>29</sup> The passages relevant to the myth of Watchers in this midrash are gathered, translated and discussed by Jung 1926: 104–6; Milik 1976: 321–29; and Reeves 1992: 84–88, 92–95. The *Midrash of Shemhazai and Azael* itself is extant in at least four recensions (Reeves 1992: 143).

<sup>30</sup> “Rabbi Joseph was asked by his pupils, “What is Azazel?” He said: “When the generation of the deluge arose and served idols, the Holy One blessed be He, was grieved. Immediately two angels arose, Shemhazai and Azael ... They said (to the Lord of the Universe): “Give us leave, let us dwell with the creatures and Thou wilt see how we shall sanctify Thy name.” He said “Descend and dwell with them.” At once they corrupted themselves with the daughters of man who were beautiful, and were unable to subdue their desire. Immediately Shemhazai saw one girl, whose name was Istahar. He set his eyes on her and said: “Grant my desire!” She replied: “I will not grant it until thou teach me the Shem Hammeforash, by means of which thou ascendest to heaven, at the moment thou pronouncest it.” He taught her that name, she pronounced it, ascended to heaven, without having sinned. The Holy One blessed be He, said: “Because she has kept herself far from sin, go ye and fix her among the seven stars in order that she may be mentioned among them for ever”” (Jung 1926: 104–105).

women. The statement in the Qumran fragment that “the gardeners were watering” (*gnmyn hww’ mšqyn*) may be an allusion to the initial educational mission of the Watchers.<sup>31</sup> There is also a reference to “great shoots” springing up from the roots of the trees in the fragment (col. ii 8), which indicate the birth of the Giants. The garden is eventually destroyed by water and fire in line 10, which symbolizes the coming deluge (Reeves 1992: 96).

From the comparative perspective, both the educational mission of the Watchers and likening them to “gardeners” make perfect sense. On Neo-Assyrian palace reliefs and seals, the famous *apkallus* as fish-cloaked men or as eagle-headed winged creatures are very often associated with the Tree of Life. The “watering of trees” by the Watchers in the *Book of Giants* finds many iconographic forerunners on many Assyrian palace reliefs, where the sages sprinkle the Tree or the king with the cone-shaped “purifiers” (*mullilu*), and hold buckets of holy water (*banduddû*) in their hands. The Assyrian sacred tree symbolized both the divine world order and the king, who functioned as its earthly administrator. By sprinkling the tree with holy water the sages imparted to it their own sanctity, upheld the cosmic harmony, and thus “insured the correct functioning of the plans of heaven and earth” (Parpola 1993: xx).

The sacred tree scene on Neo-Assyrian palace reliefs can also be interpreted as a symbolic representation of scholars’ activity at court. The central idea to all activity of the court scholars in the Neo-Assyrian empire finds its expression in the phrase “to keep the king’s watch” (*maššartu ša šarri našāru*), which recurs frequently in the letters addressed to the king (Parpola 1993: xxi). The full meaning of the phrase involved watching, guarding, and protecting the king both from physical danger as well as from straying from the path that the gods had decreed (Parpola 1993: xxii). The guarding by “watching” over the king recalls the visionary passage from *1 Enoch* 14: 23, where the holy angels in heaven do not depart from the Great Glory or the Heavenly King. The Aramaic word used for these holy angels is the same one (ʿyr) that is also used of Watchers (Nickelsburg 2001: 258). Another Jewish text, the *Temple Scroll* from Qumran (11QT 62:1–11), describes the angels in the king’s bodyguard in similar terms: “who will not leave him alone, ... and they shall always be with him day and night. They shall guard him from every sinful thing” (Nickelsburg 2001: 266). The angels in these passages fill the same task of guarding the Heavenly King as the Neo-Assyrian scholars do by keeping the king’s watch meticulously.

### **The “Seed from before the Flood” and the Transgressions of the Watchers**

The ideology of being related to antediluvian times was deliberately used in royal and other power agendas in the ancient Mesopotamia. The Assyrian kings from Sennacherib to Ashurbanipal compared themselves and their family members to the sage Adapa. These Assyrian kings were equals to Adapa in wisdom, knowledge, and deeds. Adapa was also conceived as the physical ancestor of

---

<sup>31</sup> Especially given the common exegetical nexus in Jewish literature between “water” and “Torah” (Reeves 1992: 96). Wisdom was associated with the water of Apsû also in the Mesopotamian sources.

Ashurbanipal, who boasts his superb education in working with difficult texts, including the “antediluvian inscriptions”, as well as his military and hunting skills.<sup>32</sup> It was not a particularly Neo-Assyrian concept in Mesopotamia. In one of his inscriptions, the earlier Babylonian king Nebuchadnezzar I had made an appeal to his antediluvian pedigree, and recounted Enmeduranki as his ancestor:

“[Nebuchadnezzar], king of Babylon . . . , distant scion of kingship, seed preserved from before the flood, offspring of Enmeduranki, king of Sippar, who set up the pure bowl and held the cedar-wood (rod), who sat in the presence of Shamash and Adad, the divine adjudicators” (Lambert 1974: 432).

The claims of both the physical ancestry and equality to antediluvian figures were important for Mesopotamian kings and scholars alike. It is possible to demonstrate, how the Jewish ideology has demonized this concept. According to the world view represented in *I Enoch*, being a “seed preserved from before the flood” would denote a descendent of the Watchers. Such persons were, according to a tradition preserved in Babylonian Talmud (*Niddah 61a*), the Amorite kings Og and Sihon, the exemplary enemies of Israelites. In Num. 13:33, many Canaanites are called Nephilim, sons of Anak, who in turn are identified with Rephaim in Deut. 2:11. According to Num. 21:14, battles against Og and Sihon were significant enough to be incorporated into a lost “Book of the Wars of Yahweh” (Noegel 1998: 415). *Niddah 61* says: “Sihon and Og were the sons of Ahyah, son of Shemhazai” (Reeves 1992: 156). Shemhazai was the chief of Watchers, and Ahyah probably equals ‘Ohyah, a giant found in the *Book of Giants*. Og of Bashan, who himself had gigantic size of 9 cubits (Deut. 3:11), had the relationship to the antediluvian generation of giants also, according to Targum Pseudo-Jonathan to Deuteronomy 3:11.<sup>33</sup>

By identifying certain traditional archenemies as descendants of Watchers, the Jewish authors once again gave a polemical thrust to the Mesopotamian concept of ruler as “seed preserved from before the flood”. This reversal of attitudes is also seen in the sexual transgressions that were ascribed to Watchers. The sexual encounters between humans and divinities had a clearly fixed place in the royal ritual of sacred marriage in Mesopotamian culture. In *I Enoch*, however, such transgression of the boundaries between human and divine is

<sup>32</sup> See P. Villard, “L’education d’Assurbanipal”, *Ktéma* 22 (1997): 137–139. Cf. the evidence collected in Pongratz-Leisten 1999: 309–19.

<sup>33</sup> According to talmudic and midrashic traditions, Og survived the deluge by sitting on top of Noah’s ark (see Noegel 1998: 414). The myths surrounding Og of Bashan are conflated with those about Ogygos of Boeotia, pointing to a common origin. Both were flood survivors and legendary rulers of their realms. Og of Bashan is recorded in Jos 12:4 as having been the last of the Rephaim. Ogygos is often associated with giants (Noegel 1998: 415), sometimes he is said to have been the king of Titans (see Annus 1999: 22–23). Goliath, whose height was 6 cubits and a span (1Sam 17:4), was occasionally associated with Rephaim as well (see Annus 1999: 20). Two words related to the mythology of giants in Greek, *titanes* and *meropes*, seem to derive from the Semitic roots *dtm* and *rp’* with their variants (see Annus 1999).

depicted as sacrilegious at the outset, and a source of irreversible corruption in the human world.

On the other hand, the theme of sexual violations on the part of important persons of the distant past was known in the Mesopotamian literature as well. A forerunner of sexual wrongdoing of the Watchers occurs in the Epic of Gilgamesh, where the hero in the beginning of the epic oppresses the young men and women of Uruk. The precise nature of the sexual harassment exerted by Gilgamesh is quite vague in the surviving text (I 73–78), but the complaint of Uruk’s daughters and wives in reaction to Gilgamesh’s wrongdoing is intense enough to be heard by the goddesses (see Davenport 2007: 4–5). This is in parallel with *Book of Watchers* 7:6, where the earthly realm starts to implore for a divine intervention: “Then the earth brought accusation against the lawless ones” (Nickelsburg 1991: 182).

Moreover, Gilgamesh was a giant both in the Jewish *Book of Giants* and in the Gilgamesh epic. The reading of the passage in which the Standard Babylonian epic gives the height of Gilgamesh’s giant body as eleven cubits (I 52–58), is now confirmed by the newest published evidence from Ugarit.<sup>34</sup> By extension, this evidence makes Enkidu also a giant, as a match equal to Gilgamesh in strength. It is of comparative interest also to point out that the career of Enkidu in the epic also begins with an extended sexual intercourse with a mortal woman. In the epic of Gilgamesh, the first meeting of the two superhuman heroes results from their previous sexual appetites of the mortal women.

Except for Gilgamesh and Enkidu, there are no data concerning profuse sexual activities of the primeval figures in the Mesopotamian literature. The depiction of sexual sins of the Watchers is probably a secondary development of the Mesopotamian material, which is designed to disgrace the characters. Sexuality as such is not at all so important for the authors of *1 Enoch*, who do not exploit the myth of the Watchers to warn against sexual sin in general. The watchers’ behaviour is not for them the cause of humanity’s sexual wrongdoing (Loader 2007: 80). In other words, their sexuality had no aetiological significance, being only a motif in the plot that serves as demonizing device. There were other means that could be used for that purpose. In *1 Enoch* 7: 5 the Watchers “drink the blood”, which is ultimate abomination and violation of created life in the eyes of a Jew (Nickelsburg 1991: 186).<sup>35</sup>

Finally, there is one interesting parallel in the Mesopotamian birth omen series *šumma izbu*, which possibly relates to the birth of a giant. *Šumma izbu* I 69

<sup>34</sup> The passage describing the physical appearance of Gilgamesh can be reconstructed in five lines as follows: “[A giant(?)] in stature, eleven cubits [was his height, four cubits was] the width of [his chest,] a triple cubit his foot, half a rod his leg, six cubits was the length of his stride, [x] cubits the whiskers(?) of his cheeks” (George 2007: 247–248).

<sup>35</sup> Throughout the verses 7:3–5, the giants are the subjects of a series of verbs denoting their unrestrained gluttony, as they seek to satisfy their insatiable appetites (Nickelsburg 1991: 186). In regard to this motif, there is one religious-historical parallel that is certainly worth mentioning. In the Ugaritic myth *The Birth of the Gracious Gods* (CAT 1.23), El enters into sexual activities with two maidens, who become his wives. Two of El’s sons are born, the deities Dawn and Dusk, who are marked by their immense size and by a ravenous appetite.

tells: “If a woman gives birth to a giant, either male or female: a sinful man impregnated her in the street.”<sup>36</sup> This omen probably had a background in the oral lore of ancient Mesopotamia that may have been similar to the story of Watchers. The book of *1 Enoch* makes every effort to depict Watchers as sinful, and giants are their offspring. This omen may offer a rare glimpse to the popular mythology of giants in Mesopotamia, for which we don’t have many sources.

### Apkallus as evil beings in Mesopotamian mythology

It is a little known fact that *apkallus* are occasionally depicted as malevolent beings in Mesopotamian literature, who either angered the gods with their hubris, or practiced witchcraft. The case is clear in regard to the Adapa myth, where the sage broke the wing of the South Wind by using a spell or curse.<sup>37</sup> The lore about wicked acts performed by the sages was oral, with only a handful of attestations in the written record. These few still very clearly reveal the profile of this lore. Especially the post-diluvian sages were attributed some malicious deeds, as the translation of the latter part of the *Bit Mēseri* text shows:

“Nungalpiriggaldim, the wise of (king) Enmerkar, who had the goddess Innin/Ishtar descend from heaven into the sanctuary; Piriggalnungal, who was born in Kish, who angered the god Ishkur/Adad in heaven, so that he allowed neither rain nor growth in the land for three years; Piriggabalzu, who was born in Adab/Utab, who hung his seal on a “goat-fish” and thereby angered the god Enki/Ea in the fresh water sea, so that a fuller struck him dead with his own seal; fourthly Lu-Nanna, who was two-thirds a sage, who drove a dragon out of the Temple E-Ninkiagnunna, the Innin/Ishtar temple of (king) Shulgi, (altogether) four sages of human descent, whom Enki/Ea, the Lord, endowed with comprehensive understanding.”<sup>38</sup>

It is explicitly said in the passage that two of the four post-diluvian sages angered the gods. Piriggalnungal angered the storm-god, who caused draught on earth for three years. The same disaster is sent to diminish the humankind as the second plague in the epic of Atrahasis. In the Old Babylonian version, the dura-

<sup>36</sup> See E. Leichty, *The Omen Series Šumma Izbu* (New York, 1970), p. 38: *šumma sinništū šehāna lu zikar lu sinništū ulid sinništū šī ina sūqi ša arnam išū irhīši*. The word “giant” occurs in the text written logographically as á.kam, which equates the poorly attested word *šehānu* in Akkadian.

<sup>37</sup> In the *Catalogue of Texts and Authors*, Adapa’s name once occurs as Anshekura, in the regular logographic writing for the word “horse” in Sumerian and Akkadian (Lambert 1962: fr. VI l. 17). As van der Toorn explicates, Anshekura here should be analyzed as the phrase *an-še ku-ra* in Sumerian, “he, who entered heaven” in the light of the Adapa myth (van der Toorn 2007: 342). The writing is deliberately equivocal, as the horse is also a form taken by Babylonian demons, “the evil ones of Ea” in *Utukku Lemnutu* 5: 156, 176 (Geller 2007: 212–13); and the demonic Anzu had a form of horse in Mesopotamian iconography (Annus 2002: 93–94).

<sup>38</sup> The English translation is from Borger 1994: 230–31; see the original text in von Weisher 1983: 48 ll. 14–29.

tion of the draught is not mentioned (see Foster 2005: 241). In the Late Assyrian version, the draught lasted probably for seven years (see Foster 2005: 272–74). Another sage Piriggalabzu angered the god of wisdom by a weird act of hanging his seal on a “carp-goat” or “goat-fish”,<sup>39</sup> the creature called *suhurmāšu* in Akkadian, the demon associated with Ea/Enki (Wiggermann 1992: 152). There is nothing in surviving Mesopotamian literature to give the full story behind this short summary. Nevertheless, the topos of killing somebody of historical importance with cylinder seals is found in some Old Babylonian historical omens. Two kings of the Akkad dynasty, Rimush and Sharkalisharri were killed, according to omens, by their own servants by means of cylinder seals.<sup>40</sup> These historical omens are quite worthless as historical sources, as J. Cooper (1980) has pointed out. Rather, they summarize legends and other folklore surrounding eminent historical personages.

The *apkallus* occur at least twice in the anti-witchcraft series *Maqlû* as witches, against whom incantations are directed. *Maqlû* means “conflagration, burning”, and it is a magical series, consisting of the eight tablets of incantations and the ninth, ritual tablet (Abusch 2002: 287).<sup>41</sup> Its standard form is probably a first millennium creation and contains almost hundred incantations, which are directed against witches and witchcraft. The series “was intended to counteract and dispel evil magic and its effects and to punish and render ineffectual those responsible for the evil” (Abusch 2002: 288). Therefore it is an important material for the comparative study of the Jewish mythology of Watchers. In the first division of the *Maqlû*, the text describes struggle against the witch in a legal scenario – judgement, execution and expulsion of the witch (Abusch 2002: 288). In *Maqlû* incantations, the witch is eliminated by fire and water, which finds a ritual expression in the first part of the text, as T. Abusch has described:

“Following standard preparations and introductory acts, representations of the witch and related objects are set out and burned in a brazier. Alongside these rites, knots are untied to undo and fumigants are burned to counteract the witchcraft. Then, the contents of the brazier are stirred, and water is poured over the smoldering remains. Burning representations and dousing them with water serve to destroy the witch and squelch her lifeforce and evil impulses. The remains are discarded, and the estate protected. The witch is thus expelled from the settled community and trans-

<sup>39</sup> The original text: *na<sub>4</sub> kišib.a.n[i] s[u]hur.máš bí.in.lá // na<sub>4</sub> KIŠIB-šú SUHU[R.MAŠ] u[š-qa-li]-lu-ma* (von Weiher 1983: 48 Vs. I 20, 22).

<sup>40</sup> The Akkadian expression is *ina kunukkātīšunu idūkūšu*, see A. Goetze, YOS 10 42 I 5 (Rimush), and YOS 10 46 v 33–34 (Sharkalisharri). The Bīt Mēseri text has *ina kunuk napištišu urassibušu* in Akkadian (von Weiher 1983: 48 obv. I 23).

<sup>41</sup> “Burning” as title of the series refers to both purifying and destroying aspects of fire, which parts are executed mostly by the fire-god Girra in the series, where he both burns the witches and purifies the victims of witchcraft. The *Maqlû* series is closely related to another series, *Šurpû*, which also means “burning”, as the two titles are mentioned side by side in lists of the exorcist’s repertory (see CAD s.v. *šurpû*). The *Šurpû* incantations, however, do not deal with witches, and the concept of fire used therein is that of purification.

formed into smoke or wind that blows across the steppe” (Abusch 2002: 288).

The witch of *Maqlû* has a demonic quality, she is a shade of the spirits of dead witches. Therefore one cannot conclusively destroy her, she must be sent off to the steppe where she will be a formless wind. The witch is to be expelled from the organized community. *Maqlû* seeks to place the witch in limbo state so that she will be unable to harm the victim again (Abusch 2002: 290).<sup>42</sup> The witch is to be neutralized by fire and water, which have many symbolic names in the series. The fire-god Girra plays a prominent role in the incantations, he is the destructive heat and the strong arm of the sun-god Shamash, executing his sentences (Abusch 2002: 68–69). The burning of the witch is the theme that occurs in various permutations and elaborations in almost every incantation of the series (Abusch 2002: 289). The names for water annihilating the witches include the deified “River” (<sup>d</sup>id). As Girra burns the witches according to the orders of Shamash, the god of justice, the River sinks the representations of the witches like the river ordeal in earthly trials. The *apkallus* occur as witches in *Maqlû* III 61–76, where the Sun god is referred to with the epithet “radiant” (*namru*):

“Incantation: I am pure River and holy Radiance. My warlocks are the Sages of the Apsû, my witches are the heavenly Daughters of Anu. They bewitch me (*eppušāni*), they bewitch me again and again, they bewitch me, but they cannot overpower my body, they bewitch me again and again, but they are still unable to seize me. I bewitched (them) and stand victorious over them. Like the River, I have become pure in my mountain, like the Radiance, I have become bright in my judgment. Of my warlock and witch, may River and Radiance establish their revolt. May their witchcraft be turned over, and may it go onto their head and face. Like bitumen, may their faces be blackened, may his case be perverted, but may my case go straight. May they dissolve, melt, drip ever away. Like the River, I have indeed become pure in my mountain.”<sup>43</sup>

<sup>42</sup> This state of ultimate helplessness is well reflected in Jewish and Islamic sources about Fallen Angels. According to *Midrash of Shemhazai and Azael*, Shemhazai, having received the ominous message of the impending judgment of Deluge, adopts the penance of suspending himself upside-down between heaven and earth (Milik 1976: 328). This is also the punishment the God imposed on the magicians Harut and Marut in Islamic tradition. The Watchers in Arab versions, Harut and Marut, are invariably associated with Babylon, including the story in Quran 2: 96. According to al-Qazwini, the angels were chained upside-down within a pit in Babylon awaiting their final punishment at the end of days. In their suspended limbo-state, however, they are still able to teach magic to those interested in the art. For sources and bibliography, see Jung 1926: 126–39; Reeves 1992: 86–87, 144–145.

<sup>43</sup> The text and translations of this incantation are to be found in Abusch 2002: 201–202, and in Schwemer 2007: 113. For the German translation, see Abusch and Schwemer 2008: 149. Schwemer argues that the subjects of the line “they bewitch me” are neither the sages nor the daughters of Anu, but witches, who are here referred to impersonally (Schwemer 2007: 112–115). However, this assumption is very difficult to prove, and the

According to the ritual tablet, this incantation was accompanied by the use of a representation of the witch made of *ittû* – “bitumen” (IX 46’), thus creating a magic link between the deified River (<sup>d</sup>id) and the substance of the figurine (Abusch 2002: 208). The blackness of bitumen was probably also thought to contribute to understanding the sages of *apsû* as witches here.<sup>44</sup> A variant of this incantation is found in *Maqlû* VI 85–89, where destructive fire and water are represented by the substances of sulphur (*kibrîtu*) and *atā’išu*-plant correspondingly:

“Incantation: I am pure sulphur and holy *atā’išu*. My warlocks are the Sage(s) of the Apsû, my witches are the heavenly Daughters of Anu. When they bewitched me, they were unable to overpower me, but when I bewitched them, I overpowered them.”<sup>45</sup>

The speaker identifies here with materials that were often used in amulets and other protective rituals (Abusch 2002: 208). There is again a verbal connection between the deified River (<sup>d</sup>id) and the material of sulphur (*kibrîtu*), for the latter word was often understood pseudo-etymologically to consist of the two words *kibir* <sup>d</sup>id “the bank of the river” (Abusch 2002: 208). The *atā’išu* plant is related to the figurines of the sages also in the *Bīt Mēseri* text, where the ritual instruction directs the performer to strew the plant substance before the sages’ representation(s).<sup>46</sup> Thus every detail in these *Maqlû* passages speaks in favour of the interpretation that the *apkallus* here represent the evil witches.

Elsewhere in *Maqlû* the “seven sages of Eridu” are summoned to “plan evil” (*likpidūšunūti ana lemutti*) for the witches (II 125), and to counter their witchcraft (see Abusch and Schwemer 2008: 144). In *Maqlû* VIII 40’–43’, the sages and Ea again counter a witch with the measures more appropriate to their adversaries, by sending to the witch various diseases (Abusch and Schwemer 2008: 178). In another passage, *Maqlû* VII 46, the sages counter the evil demons by giving relief to patient’s body (Abusch and Schwemer 2008: 172).

From many references in Mesopotamian literature we can learn that the fish-like sages were thought to have been created and also reside in Apsû. The seven sages were according to *Bīt Mēseri* III 8 “shining carps (*purādū*), carps of the sea ... that were created in a stream” (Wiggermann 1992: 108). The cleansing river was often invoked in Mesopotamian rituals to dispose of evil fallen upon a

---

present paper as a whole can be read as an argument for T. Abusch’s understanding of the sages here as playing the part of the witches.

<sup>44</sup> Bitumen is identified with the deified River in a Babylonian esoteric text (see Annus 2002: 144). In a Babylonian ritual text relating to curing of a sick man, the door of the house is smeared with gypsum and bitumen, which are explained as representing Ninurta and demonic Asakku respectively, who was defeated by Ninurta in the myth *Lugal-e* (see Annus 2002: 142–144). In *Bīt mēseri* III 8, one finds similar instruction concerning the images of sages: “seven figures of carp sages, painted with gypsum and black paste, that are drawn at the side of the bedroom on the wall” (Wiggermann 1992: 108).

<sup>45</sup> Translation is from Abusch 2002: 207; for the German translation, see Abusch and Schwemer 2008: 168.

<sup>46</sup> Obv. II 4: *atā’iša ana pānīšunu tusarraq* “weisse Nieswurz schütttest du vor ihnen auf” (von Weiher 1983: 49, 51).

person either through witchcraft, or of bad omens observed in everyday occurrences. In the prayers to be recited during the *namburbi* rituals for release of the effects of sinister omens, the purifying river, which is said to have its depths in Apsû, is invoked to carry evil away (Maul 1994: 85–86).<sup>47</sup> More to the point, the realm of Apsû is often confused with underworld in Mesopotamian literature. Evidence indicates that the reason for this was either a simple confusion, or Apsû itself was occasionally thought to be a netherworld inhabited by malevolent spirits (Horowitz 1998: 342). The second option seems more likely, as there are many literary references, which place underworld deities and demons in Apsû (Horowitz 1998: 343).<sup>48</sup> In Mesopotamian texts, the netherworld river Hubur is occasionally merged or confused with the Apsû of Ea/Enki, who himself is associated with the same river (Horowitz 1998: 342). The name of the boatman Ur-šanabi, who regularly sailed on the “waters of death” in the Gilgamesh epic is traditionally interpreted as “Man of the god Ea” because of the same confusion of different bodies of water associated with the death and netherworld (see George 2003: 500). In the great Shamash hymn the Sun-god renders verdicts at the underworld Hubur river, after descending into the Apsû (Horowitz 1998: 343). Some epithets of Shamash also imply that the Sun-god rendered judgements in the underworld (Horowitz 1998: 352). The confusion of Apsû with Hubur shows that the waters around the earth continually threaten the cosmos, but also form the boundaries of human life. Some Mesopotamian birth incantations refer to a birth boat which brings the child across the seas toward life (see Foster 2005: 1009). Thus the life-bringing waters and the waters of death were not geographically strictly separated, sometimes fulfilling the same function.

The Hubur river is situated at the entrance of the netherworld, but the primordial sea Tiamat is also referred to in the Creation Epic as “Mother Hubur, she who fashions all things” (*pātiqat kalāma*).<sup>49</sup> Thus Tiamat created her army of demons under her riverine aspect of Mother Hubur. The first line of the prayer to purifying river in *namburbi* rituals addresses the feminine River very similarly as “creatress of all things” (*bānāt kalāma*).<sup>50</sup> The prayers further specify the cosmological origin of the river. According to one variant, “when the great gods dug your bed, they set well-being along your banks, Ea, king of the Apsû, built his dwelling within you” (Foster 2005: 726). This is comparable to Mesopotamian cosmological traditions, also found in the Creation Epic, where Ea killed Apsû (I 60–72) and established his chamber of rest in it (I 76). It is in Apsû, where Marduk, the “sage of the gods” (*apkal ilī*), is born in the Creation Epic I 80.<sup>51</sup> The fact that *apkallus* are born and often reside in Apsû, is not evidence that points to their exclusively positive character, since demonic creatures were also often thought to have their origin in the depths of the divine River. For

<sup>47</sup> In one *namburbi* text, the effects of witchcraft is treated in exactly the same way as evil omens, see Maul 1994: 445–52.

<sup>48</sup> See, e.g., a reference to the “evil ones of Ea”, who hosts them in Apsû (Foster 2005: 963).

<sup>49</sup> Akkadian: *ummu Hubur pātiqat kalāma*, see Creation Epic I 133; II 19; III 23, 81.

<sup>50</sup> See Maul 1994: 86 n. 21 for all references to the epithet in these ritual prayers.

<sup>51</sup> For Marduk as the “sage of the gods”, see Denning-Bolle 1992: 36, 41–43.

example, in the Mesopotamian myth about slaying the dragon Labbu by god Tishpak, the monster is called “offspring of River” (*rihût nāri*).<sup>52</sup> This river, where the representations of witches and the models of evil omen carriers were cast for the purpose of purification, also had an epithet and aspect of deluge (*abūbu*), which will be brought into discussion below in this paper. Thus, the bodies of water like sea, river, or Apsû, were all capable of producing and hosting of both good and bad offspring. That the “sea” and “river” were interchangeable, is also seen in the variant names of Adapa in *Bīt Mēseri* incantations – either “Born in the Apsû” (Utuabzu) or “Born in the Sea” (Utuaabba). Being “born” in a river may also be a poetic expression for acquitting in the river ordeal, as in the Sumerian *Hymn to Nungal A*: “When the time arrives, the prison is made up as for a public festival; the gods are present at the place of interrogation, at the divine river ordeal, to separate the just from the evildoers; a just man is given rebirth” (ll. 58–60). The episode in the myth of Adapa, where his boat is capsized by the south wind, can be interpreted as a reference to a water ordeal, from which the sage acquitted himself. Another reference to a just man being “born” (*zid-du ù-tu*) through the river ordeal is found in the collection of the *Temple Hymns* (l. 54).<sup>53</sup>

### Mesopotamian and Jewish demonology compared

For understanding the origin of Watchers’ evil character, it is necessary to study the dynamics of Mesopotamian demonology. *Apkallus* figure prominently in Mesopotamian ritual and magical texts as protective spirits. In iconography and sculpture, the mythical sages are represented as three kinds of composite creatures. The ritual texts describe the same three groups of seven sages – one group of fish-man hybrids, one of bird-man hybrids, and one of fully anthropomorphic figures (Wiggermann 1994: 224).<sup>54</sup> In comparison, different versions of the Jewish *Book of Giants* depict some giants as bird-men. Mahawai has wings and flies in the air in the Qumran fragment 4Q530 iii 4 (Milik 1976: 306). The giants ’Ohyah and Hahyah could have been bird-men too, as the Persian version refers to an activity “in their nest(?)” (Milik 1976: 313). Milik has argued that Azazel in the *Book of Giants* also was a hybrid of goat-like and man-like features. The final ending *-iš* in the names like *glgmyš* (Gilgamesh) and *hwbbš* (Humbaba) may reflect the partially human composition of these figures, by a play with Hebrew *’iš* – “man” (Milik 1976: 313). In addition, some Manichaean fragments

<sup>52</sup> See Lewis 1996: 32, obv. 23. The monster seems to have been “[created by] the sea” as well according to the same myth, see Lewis 1996: 31, obv. 6. Both sea and river were thought to be origins for this and similar monsters.

<sup>53</sup> See T. S. Frymer-Kensky, *The Judicial Ordeal in the Ancient Near East* (Yale University Dissertation), Ann Arbor: University microfilms 1977, pp. 101, 493.

<sup>54</sup> “The first group of sages is rooted in third millennium Mesopotamia, but the iconographic type was introduced only in the Kassite period. The two other types are adopted by Assyrian iconography from a foreign source, and secondarily named ‘sages’. In magic all three types of sages perform purifying and exorcising functions” (Wiggermann 1994: 224). For a full inventory, see D. Rittig, *Assyrisch-Babylonische Kleinplastik magischer Bedeutung vom 13.–6. Jh. v. Chr.* München: Uni-Druck, pp. 70ff.

of the book call the giants explicitly with the word that primarily means “demons”, the Middle Persian *dyw’n* and Sogdian *dywt*.<sup>55</sup> Humbaba, in particular, is a demonic creature in the Mesopotamian mythology, who exercises authority over other demons. He is the guardian of the cedar forest in Lebanon, and his domicile in the Cedar Mountains is a locality also associated with Watchers. According to 1 Enoch 13:9, the penitent Watchers and their progeny assembled at Ubelseyael, a locality placed “between Lebanon and Senir”. Ubelseyael is probably a corruption for Abilene, and “Senir” is to be identified with Hermon. In an Old Babylonian fragment of the *Gilgamesh Epic* the Cedar Mountain is identified as “Hermon and Lebanon”, an interesting coincidence of identity (Reeves 1992: 124–125).<sup>56</sup> The association of watchers’ sons with a cedar forest is also at work in the Damascus Document (CD 2:19) from Qumran, where they are as tall as cedar trees (3000 or 300 cubits), and with bodies like mountains (Nickelsburg 1991: 185).

There are some ritual texts of ancient Mesopotamia for the defence of households, where one finds prescriptions how to deal with epidemic diseases, represented as an army of demonic intruders. The texts describe in detail the prophylactic figurines of *apkallus* and monsters of clay or wood at the gates, rooms and corners of the house (Wiggermann 1992: xii). The *apkallu* figurines are among the statues that the texts prescribe to manufacture and bury at the strategic points in the house as protective deposits, they serve there as apotropaic guardians against protruding evil. The same groups of protective spirits served the magical defence of Neo-Assyrian palaces in relief along the walls, and sometimes in the round sculpture, made of precious metals or stone (Wiggermann 1994: 222).

The main Akkadian text edited in Wiggermann’s book (1992: text I) contains a description of the comprehensive ritual enabling “to block the entry of the enemy in someone’s house” (*Šēp lemutti ina bīt amēli parāsu*). In the first day of the ritual, the group of prophylactic statues of seven *ūmu-apkallus* is made of cornel (*e’ru*) wood (Wiggermann 1992: 8–9 ll. 49–65). In the second day, six other (groups of) statues are made and described in detail – seven statues of Sebettu, four statues of Lugalgirra, seven statues of “armed ones” (*šūt kakkī*), “the one of the cubit” (*ša ištēt ammatu lānšu*), four statues of Meslamtaea, and a

<sup>55</sup> Enoch is called the “apostle” in these fragments, see Milik 1976: 313; Stuckenbruck 1997: 75–76, 85–86.

<sup>56</sup> See George 2003: 262–263 for the new edition of this text, rev. 31’: *sa-ri-a ù la-ab-na-am*. From Deut. 3:8–9 we learn that the Mount Hermon belonged to the territory of the king Og of Bashan, a giant, and one of the Rephaim (see Noegel 1998: 418). The standard epithet of the Ugaritic epic hero Daniel is *mt rpi* “man of Rapi’u” in parallel with *mt hrnmy* “man of H.” In 1 Enoch 6:7, the seventh chief of the Fallen Angels is also called Daniel, who with others descended on the Mount Hermon. It is possible that the Canaanite *hrnmy* became Hermon by a deliberate reinterpretation in the Hebrew Bible, connecting it with Hebrew *hrm*, “ban” (see Annus 1999: 17). His position in the seventh place among angels connects him with Enmeduranki and Enoch, and in Jub. 4:20 Enoch marries the daughter of Daniel. T. Milik thinks that Daniel “could be the protagonist in the Phoenician history of the flood” and the *Book of Watchers* intends to dethrone “the Phoenician wise man to the rank of the wicked angels” (Milik 1976: 29). A more positive portrayal of him appears in the biblical book of Daniel, where he is a wise scholar at the court of the Babylonian king, a role that exactly belongs to the tradition of *apkallu*.

statue of Narudda, which are summarized as “the creatures of heaven” (Wiggermann 1992: 8–13 ll. 88–143). Some of these creatures are strongly associated with netherworld, and therefore they are of half-demonic nature, capable of both good and evil. Lugal(g)irra and Meslamtaea are symbols of plague, and according to anti-witchcraft series *Maqlû* VI 148–49, a pair of guard-gods in the netherworld, “who tear out the heart and compress the kidneys” (Abusch and Schwemer 2008: 170). The well-known group of Sebetu, here on the side of good, are described as extremely malicious beings in the Erra epic.<sup>57</sup>

On the third day, a whole host of monster figurines are made of clay. The seven bird-*apkallus* and fish-*apkallus* are mentioned first, which is followed by a standard list of Babylonian demons – hairy-ones (*lahmu*), big weather-beasts (*ugallu*), mad lions (*uridimmu*), human-headed bisons (*kusarikku*), scorpion-men (*girtablullû*), fish-men (*kultû*), carp-goats (*suhurmāšu*), and others.<sup>58</sup> The function of all these protective spirits and demons alike is to ward off evil from the household. In the fourth and fifth day of the ritual, these statues are properly consecrated, purified and finally buried in their appropriate places in the house (Wiggermann 1992: 4). When drawing conclusions from this important text, one has to give a due attention to the circumstance that all these celebrated monsters here are assigned the tasks that are also given to *apkallûs*.

The Assyrian foundation figurines of the fish-cloaked human *apkallu* type often show archaizing elements in their iconography, most noticeably in the form of the double-stranded beard in the rectangular clay plaques of the type from the city of Assur (Green 1984: 84). The archaizing features were to emphasize their nature as primeval beings, which made *apkallus* particularly potent in the magical protectiveness (Green 1984: 86). Some figurines of the seventh century BCE from Ur were found during the excavations by L. Woolley in little boxes of burnt-brick underneath a pavement. Instead of flanking the doorways, the figurines were in lines against the walls, and the open side of each box faced towards the centre of the room, thus the figurine groups were apparently doing domestic sentry-duty. There are some deliberate archaizing components found in the archaeological context of these deposits:

“Very curious was the fact that the boxes were made of plano-convex bricks collected from various parts of the mound, and this archaic material had been deliberately chosen in order to invest the magical deposits with an aura of antique sanctity. The practice illustrated at Ur has a most interesting parallel in a slightly later period, at Kish, where one such figure was found buried underneath a plano-convex pavement composed, not of archaic, but of archaistic bricks. At Ur there were ten different types of figures and they included three of those found at Calah: the

<sup>57</sup> Erra I 23–27: “The Seven, warriors unrivalled, their divine nature is different, their origins are strange, they are terrifying, whoever sees them is numbed with fear. Their breath is death, people are too frightened to approach it!” (Foster 2005: 882).

<sup>58</sup> Wiggermann 1992: 12–15 ll. 170–205. There is a similar text, KAR 298, which consists of extracts from this and other texts. The protective spirits enumerated there also include *apkallus* along with the demons (see Wiggermann 1992: 41–46).

winged *apkalle* with birds' heads and human bodies, the warriors, and the fishmen" (Mallowan 1954: 90).

Many of these protective spirits, statues of which are made during the ritual described above, also occur in standard lists of eleven monstrous beings, which are defeated by the warrior god Ninurta. In the Babylonian Creation Epic, some of these beings are counted among evil creations of Tiamat, who are combated by Marduk (see Annus 2002: 110–113). The demonic adversaries of Mesopotamian warrior gods become beneficent in their defeat, they occur as protective spirits in the ritual texts. On the level of ritual and magic, the distinction between various types of *apkallus*, demons and monsters seems to vanish, as all figurines are united to fill the common task. In guarding houses and palaces their malevolent background makes them more effective (Green 1984: 86). In literature, we can find only few cases where the sages are referred to as evil beings, while there are much more examples of viewing them positively. The monsters become positive after they are defeated in battle, and their images can be positioned at the entrances of palaces and temples as guardian figures. This is etio- logically explained in the Creation Epic V 73–76, where Marduk does the following:

“[And] her eleven creatures, which Tiamat created as the beas[ts], their weapons he broke, he tied them to his feet, he made then [their] images, stationed them at the gate of Apsû, (saying:) ‘Let them not be forgotten, be this a sign!’” (Annus 2002: 118–119.)

The usual guardians of Mesopotamian gates and doors, the human-headed winged bulls (*lamassu*), lions, and other fabulous or real monsters were probably considered as former demonic enemies of the warrior gods. The Assyrian triumph rituals, which celebrated king's victories, also publicly displayed the rebellious enemy kings in their defeated state at important city gates (Annus 2002: 119). As A. Green has rightly observed:

“... we should guard against a rigid division, in considering Mesopotamian spirits, between the good and the evil: at times, perhaps, the beneficence or malevolence of individual spirits depended more upon their works at any given time than upon their essential natures. The Seven Gods might at one time be perceived as on the side of good, at another as deadly evil. We have seen how even Pazuzu, for all his professed maliciousness, might be a protection against Lamashtu, and it is not impossible that he is sometimes, at least, counted among the Assyrian apotropaic series. Conversely, even the Babylonian Sages, steeped in wisdom and full of beneficence, may have had a darker side” (Green 1984: 86).

As the beings subservient to the god Ea, the sages had an origin not very different from some monsters. Various demons had close associations with different gods, who governed them and restrained their rebelliousness. To Ea probably belonged also the *lahmu* “hairy-one”, the *kulîlu* “fish-man”, and *suhurmāšu* “goat-fish”. The *kusarikku* may have originally belonged to the sun-god Shamash, as well as the *girtablullû* “scorpion-man” and *uridimmu* “mad lion”. From at least the Middle Babylonian period, however, some of these creatures are

occasionally presented as a group, appearing in the army of Tiamat in the Creation Epic and as part of the suite of the victor Marduk (Green 1984: 85–86).

### The great flood according to Erra epic

In the epic of Erra, the flood narrative appears in a version different from other deluge accounts in ancient Mesopotamian literature. In this text, the flood is caused by Marduk, the tradition that otherwise belongs to Enlil, as elaborated most fully in the Atrahasis myth. Differently from Atrahasis, the Erra epic views not Enlil, but Marduk as the chief god of the pantheon, and Erra as the challenger of his order (Machinist 2005: 47). In other words, the Erra epic presumes that the Creation epic is the principal text describing the world order, as established by Marduk. The flood story is narrated by Marduk himself in Erra I 132–137:

“Once long ago indeed I grew angry, indeed I left my dwelling, and caused the deluge! When I left my dwelling, the regulation of heaven and earth disintegrated: the shaking of heaven meant: the positions of the heavenly bodies changed, nor did I restore them. The quaking of netherworld meant: the yield of the furrow diminished, being thereafter difficult to exploit. The regulation of heaven and earth disintegrating meant: underground water diminished, high water receded. When I looked again, it was a struggle to get enough.”<sup>59</sup>

After the flood, while the surviving portion of humankind struggled for life, Marduk built a new house for himself (I 139). However, his precious attire (*šukuttu*) had been struck by the deluge. Marduk commanded Girra to make his features shine again and to cleanse his apparel (I 141), he put on his “crown of sovereignty” and returned to his temple (I 143). Subsequently in I 147–48, Marduk recollects his deeds during the flood: “I sent craftsmen down to Apsû, I ordered them not to come up. I changed the location of *mēsu*-tree and *elmešu*-stone, and did not show it to anybody.”<sup>60</sup> The sages were apparently done away by Marduk during the flood, just as God punished the Watchers with the deluge. It is a matter of conjecture to assume that the sages were sent to Apsû, because they had rebelled against the divine order of Marduk in a way that is not given.<sup>61</sup>

The account of flood and its results in the Erra epic again invites a comparison with Jewish lore about Watchers and Giants. In the Qumran fragment 4Q530, which mentions the Watchers as “gardeners”, also contains a reference

<sup>59</sup> Cagni 1969: 70–72; the translation is from Foster 2005: 887.

<sup>60</sup> Akkadian: *ummānī šunūti ana Apsī ušēred-ma elāšunu ul aqbī / ša mēsi elmeši ašaršun unakkir-ma ul ukallim mamma* (Cagni 1969: 74). It can also be understood that the stones grew on the tree, as CAD E 107 translates I 148: “I changed the place where (grow) the *mes*-trees (bearing) *elmešu*, and did not show anybody.”

<sup>61</sup> A. Kilmer (1989: 43) speculated on this point that the sages “were dispatched for good to the apsu at the time of the flood and may have been deprived access to the *mes*-tree, ‘the flesh of the gods’, which provided them with the special material to make divine and kingly statues (as well as knowledge, skill, and longevity?), but which was hidden from them (and all future mortals) forever when Marduk cast it to the deep.”

to destructive water and fire, abruptly doing away with all flourishing vegetation in the garden under Watchers' care.<sup>62</sup> The "gardeners" in this symbolic dream refers to Watchers, and the trees to giants. Another Qumran fragment, which is probably a textual witness to the same dream or a series of dreams (6Q8 2), mentions a garden and a tree with three shoots, which apparently survives from this catastrophe. The image serves as a symbol for the preservation of Noah and his three sons (Reeves 1992: 86–87). This narrative refers to Watchers' original mission as culture-bringers, which had turned to evil, and finally resulted in their destruction by the flood of water and fire.<sup>63</sup> The two Qumran fragments recount the event as a symbolic dream of warning for the Giants. A similar dream complex is attested in the medieval *Midrash of Shemhazai and Azael*, where it is narrated as follows:

“One night the sons of Šemhazai, Heyyâ and ‘Aheyyâ, saw (visions) in dream, and both of them saw dreams. One saw a great stone spread over with lines (of writing). And an angel (was seen by him) descending from the firmament with a knife in his hand and he was erasing and obliterating all the lines, save one line with four words upon it. The other (son) saw a garden, planted whole with (many) kinds of trees and (many) kinds of precious stones. And an angel (was seen by him) descending from the firmament with an axe in his hand, and he was cutting down all the trees, so that there remained only one tree containing three branches” (Milik 1976: 328).

Relocation of a tree and stones is also a motif in the Erra epic, where Marduk during the flood “changed the location of *mēsu*-tree and *elmešu*-stone”, in the context of sending the sages down to Apsu (I 147–48). The garden with trees and precious stones in the second dream is comparable to the garden in the end of hero's journey of the Gilgamesh epic IX 173–90, with the trees bearing jewels and precious stones (George 2003: 672–75). The imagery used in these Qumran fragments and in the medieval midrash are deeply rooted in the ancient Near Eastern mythology. In the Mesopotamian *Utukku Lemnutu* incantations, some demons are compared to devastating flood (5: 82; 16: 61), and in 4:17–18, the demons spawned by the seed of the sky-god Anu “scorch the land like daylight, weaken (var. ‘uproot’) the huge *mes*-trees in the forest” (Geller 2007: 203). In Marduk's postdiluvian speech to Erra in I 150–162, he searches in a series of rhetorical questions for both the tree and the sages:

“Where is the *mes*-tree, the flesh of the gods, the emblem of the king of the universe, the pure tree, august hero, perfect for lordship, whose roots reach hundred leagues through the vast sea to the depth of the underworld, whose crown brushed [Anu's] heaven on high? Where is

<sup>62</sup> 4Q530 ii 10: *kl my' wnw'r dlq bkl* “... all the water, and fire burned it all” (Reeves 1992: 86).

<sup>63</sup> In the Talmudic tradition, the flood that giant king Og survived, was a mixture of water and fire: “the torrents of the primeval flood withstood by Og were mingled with fire, hot to the point of scalding. Had it not been for Og's incredible strength, he too would have been consumed” (Noegel 1998: 419).

Ninildum, great carpenter of my supreme divinity, wielder of the glittering hatchet, who knows that tool, who makes [it] shine like the day and puts it in subjection at my feet? Where is Kusig-banda, fashioner of god and man, whose hands are consecrated? Where is Ninagal, wielder of the upper and lower millstone, who grinds up hard copper like hide and who forges to[ols]? Where are the choice stones, created by the vast sea, to ornament my diadem? Where are the seven [sa]ges of the depths, those sacred fish, who, like Ea their lord, are perfect in sublime wisdom, the ones who cleansed my body?”<sup>64</sup>

Thus like Watchers, the Mesopotamian *apkallus* were punished by a flood according to the Erra epic. In *1 Enoch*, the flood was considered final judgment for the fallen angels, combined with the punishment of fire (chapter 10). Some of the angels, like Asael, “will be led away to the burning conflagration” on the day of great judgment (10:6). Moreover, as *apkallus* are sent down to Apsû, the Watchers and their sons “will be led away to the fiery abyss, and to the torture, and to the prison where they will be confined forever” in 10:13 (Nickelsburg 1991: 215). The prison, where the spirits of the fallen angels are kept, is a chasm like Apsû, an abyss containing fiery pillars, and it is situated at the “end of the great earth” according to the Greek version of *1 Enoch* 18:10, or “beyond the great earth” following the Ethiopic.<sup>65</sup> The expression “great earth” is highly unusual in both languages, but it becomes explicable in the light of Mesopotamian mythology. The “great earth” is a name for netherworld in Mesopotamian texts, *ki-gal* in Sumerian, whence the Akkadian *kigallu* was borrowed. The expression is found in the name of Mesopotamian queen of the underworld, Ereshkigal (see Horowitz 1998: 274–276).

As divine beings, however, both the Watchers and *apkallus* were able to survive the flood by assuming a different form. The combination of fire and water as means for judgment and execution of the Watchers and witches was thought to be effective only for a limited period of time. The witches and demons in Mesopotamian mythology were not fully destructible because of their partly divine nature. They continued to do harm and bring diseases, no matter how often they were invoked to become neutralized. According to *1 Enoch* 12–16, the flood does not destroy the giants either, but releases their spirits to constitute a realm of evil spirits, who continue to roam about the world and plague humanity until the final judgment. Passages like *1 Enoch* 15: 8–9 explain the origin of the demonic world:

“But now the giants who were begotten by the spirits and flesh – they will call them evil spirits of the earth, for their dwelling will be on the earth. The spirits that have gone forth from the body of their flesh are evil spirits, for from humans they came into being, and from the holy watchers

<sup>64</sup> Cagni 1969: 74–76; Saggs 1986: 29; the translation is from Foster 2005: 888–889 (modified). According to Parpola, the cleansing of Marduk’s body here refers to the balance of the sacred tree and the divine world order, which is taken care of and maintained by the *apkallus* (Parpola 1993: xx–xxi).

<sup>65</sup> The abyss is described in *1 Enoch* 18:10–11; 19:1–2; 21:7–10. See Bautch 2006: 254–55.

was the origin of their creation. Evil spirits they will be on the earth, and evil spirits they will be called (Nickelsburg 1991: 267).<sup>66</sup>

The flood was able to neutralize the bad influence of Watchers and their sons only temporarily. For the reader of *I Enoch*, the Watchers had already generated their immortal spirit or substance, which was of evil character, into the world (Nickelsburg 1991: 273). Therefore, it could not be decisively rooted up. The Mesopotamian tradition, which judged and neutralized witches, witchcraft, and evil omens by burning and drowning their representations in a ritual of divine judgment, offers a remarkable parallel to this concept.

The section of the ritual in *Bīt Mēseri*, which enumerates all names of the *apkallus*, both antediluvian and postdiluvian, gives the final instruction to throw their depictions into river, which act probably represents their ordeal. The ritual instruction says: “Remove them and say to them as follows: ‘Ea has created you, Ea has absolved you’”.<sup>67</sup> The river in these ritual occasions is identified with deluge, who judges the evil ones by overcoming them. This concept of flood is found in many variant prayers recited to the purifying River during the rituals dispelling the effects of witchcraft and evil omens. In these prayers, the River is either equated with the flood, or the great gods have “given” a flood to it:

“You judge the cases of all peoples. O great River, sublime River, your waters run straight, receive from me the evil of sorcery, let your channel receive all my sins! You run straight, O River, take this down to your depths (= Apsû), O River! May that evil not come near me nor my house, may it gain no hold on me, may it not overcome me! May I live on in well-being, that I may sing your praises.”<sup>68</sup>

In the ritual, the images of evil omen carriers and witches are cast into river, which serves as a part of judgment issued by the divine court, consisting of the divine triad Ea, Shamash, and Asalluhi (Maul 1994: 85–86). This is in accordance to the Code of Hammurapi, which prescribes in its second paragraph the divine river ordeal to him, who is charged with witchcraft without proof.<sup>69</sup> In

<sup>66</sup> The spirits of giants in *I Enoch* 15: 11–12 “lead astray, do violence, make desolate, and attack and wrestle and hurl upon the earth and cause illnesses. They eat nothing, but abstain from food and are thirsty and smite. These spirits (will) rise up against the sons of men and against the women, for they have come forth from them” (Nickelsburg 1991: 267). From the account of Berossus we know that also Oannes ate no food, while sojourning with humans (Burstein 1978: 13).

<sup>67</sup> Obv. II 5–6: *tu-rab-šú-nu-tu kī-a-am taqabbī* (DU11.GA)- *šú-n[u-tu]* <sup>d</sup>*É-a ib-ni-ku-nu-ši* <sup>d</sup>*É-a ip-šur-k[u-nu-ši]* “Du wischt sie ab; folgendermassen sagst du zu ih[nen]: ‘Ea hat euch geschaffen; Ea hat euch gelöst’” (von Weiher 1983: 49, 53). For this saying, see Parpola 1993: no. 56, rev. 9–10, with the explanation in ll. 10–12: “He who caused the earthquake has also created the apotropaic ritual (*namburbū*) against it.”

<sup>68</sup> See Foster 2005: 726 for full translation and a comprehensive bibliography.

<sup>69</sup> “If a man charges another man with practicing witchcraft, but cannot bring proof against him, he who is charged with witchcraft shall go to the divine River Ordeal, he shall indeed submit to the divine River Ordeal; if the divine River Ordeal should overwhelm him, his accuser shall take full legal possession of his estate; if the divine River Ordeal should clear that man and should he survive, he who made the charge of witch-

rituals, the river ordeal will provide proofs for his crime of witchcraft by overcoming him. In mythological plane, this river ordeal is equated with the great flood, which took place to wipe out sinful humankind both in the Hebrew Bible and ancient Mesopotamian mythology. According to *1 Enoch*, the sin was brought to humankind by Watchers and their illegitimate revelation of divine secrets. Thus, the Mesopotamian concept of the origin of evil stands at the beginning of the Jewish understanding of the nature of Watchers.<sup>70</sup>

The demons, both malicious and beneficent, were often thought to have their origin with the first generations of men, both in Greek and Semitic traditions. According to Hesiod's *Works and Days* 110–127, the *daimones* came forth from the men of the first, golden age. Socrates once averred (Plato, *Apol.* 15, 27B–E) that they may be the bastard children of gods and nymphs or other women (Nickelsburg 1991: 273). They were the mixed offspring of gods, angels, divine heroes, or divine sages who lived in primeval time. This period is identified as the antediluvian era in Mesopotamian and Biblical historiography.

### The Suteans and the Sons of Seth<sup>71</sup>

In 1986 H. W. F. Saggs published the fragments of the Akkadian cuneiform tablet GM 1 found near modern Mosul, in the Neo-Assyrian period site of Sherikan. The tablet was once a great six-column manuscript, which contained the Anzu Epic and the epic of Erra on its two sides. The fragments were found in the ancient temple of Nergal at Tarbisu (Saggs 1986: 1–3). Juxtaposition of two comprehensive mythological texts on one great tablet was not a common practice in ancient Mesopotamia; on the contrary, it was very exceptional. The question of why the texts of Anzu and Erra were chosen to be written on the same tablet, can be answered with the reference to intertextuality (Machinist 2005).

The similarity in meaning and theological implications between the two epics is indicated already by their incipits: Anzu-epic begins with the phrase “Son of the king of habitations” (*bin šar dadmē*) and that of Erra, “King of all the habitations” (*šar gimir dadmē*). The hymnic prologues of the two epics are addressed to deities Ninurta and Ishum, who act as the neutralizers of two dangerous threats to divine order, Anzu and Erra correspondingly. Both Anzu and Erra are half-demonic beings, outsiders of the established order of the assembly of

---

craft against him shall be killed; he who submitted to the divine River Ordeal shall take full legal possession of his accuser's estate.” The translation is from M. T. Roth, *Law Collections from Mesopotamia and Asia Minor* (Atlanta, Ga: Scholars Press), p. 81.

<sup>70</sup> We have many other examples of Mesopotamian demons and half-demons penetrating the Jewish world. According to the *Sumerian King List*, the father of Gilgamesh was a demon called *lil* (line 113), the Sumerian word, which ultimately produced the Jewish Lilith, the mother of a host of demons. For Lilith as the primeval mother of many demons and bad spirits, see Babylonian Talmud, *Erubin* 18b. For the Babylonian origin of Lilith, see Geller 2005. For a similar case with Anzu and Ziz, see Wazana 2008.

<sup>71</sup> This section represents a revised and expanded version of pages xxv–xxx of the “Introduction” to my *Standard Babylonian Epic of Anzu* (Helsinki, 2001). The line numbers of the epic are cited according to this edition.

the gods. In both epics, they are initially brought into the divine order to perform similar functions for a chief deity – Anzu as guardian of the chamber of Enlil, and Erra as advisor of Marduk. According to P. Machinist’s insightful analysis, Anzu and Erra proceed to disrupt the order by taking over one of the chief’s key possessions and emblems of sovereignty: the Tablet of Destinies in the case of Anzu, and Marduk’s royal seat and chamber in the case of Erra (Machinist 2005: 46). The seizure of seat and chamber is temporary in the Erra poem, since it appears that Marduk is able to return. Yet, both seizures of divine attributes give rise to a kind of anti-order, within which the seizer, Erra and Anzu, has to be stopped and neutralized.<sup>72</sup>

In the Anzu epic, Ninurta defeats Anzu in the battle, while in the Erra poem Ishum just helps Erra to calm down and assume his own orderly propensity. While the defeated Anzu was often given the new role of guarding the entrances of temples, Erra becomes in the last stage of the narrative the restorer and guarantor of the established order he had earlier dissolved (Machinist 2005: 48).

There is a historical record from the time of Assurbanipal which helps to perceive, how this Anzu-Erra parallel is related to the cultic practice. In a text commemorating the donation of iconographic representations of Anzû-birds to the temple Egalamiš of Nergal in Tarbiš, where the aforementioned tablet was found, and the erecting of them at the gate of it, the god Ishum is titled as one “whose hands are fitting” (*ša qātāšu asmā*) and as “the famous one” (*na’du*). Both epithets quote the fourth verse of the Erra epic, where Ishum is titled “the famous butcher whose hands are fitting to carry his fierce weapons” (Weissert 1997: 192–93). This quotation in Assurbanipal’s royal inscription shows that for ideological purposes, Anzu and Erra could be identified as referring to the same ambiguously half-demonic power, occasionally endangering and at times protecting the divine order.

In the Erra poem, Erra himself turns out to be an Anzu-like figure, who finally takes on the character of Ninurta by conquering himself. The transformative power, which turns the evil Anzu into a guardian image of the temple gate, makes also the difference between the evil Erra and the god Nergal.<sup>73</sup> When starting to restore the divine order after having made much damage to it, Erra’s first action is to send Ishum to destroy the wicked Suteans, living near the mount Sharshar. This location is also a connecting point between the two epics. If one takes a closer look to the Anzu poem I 25–28, it can be shown that the birth of Anzu is clearly located on the mountain of the same name:

<i>i-na Šár-šár KUR-i e-li-[i x x]</i>	On Šaršar, the high mountain [...]
<i>ina ut-li-šá<sup>d</sup> a-nun-[na-ki x x]</i>	in her bosom Anun[naki ...]
<i>it-ta-[a]’-lad an-z[a-a x x]</i>	Anzu was born [...]

<sup>72</sup> “That task is spearheaded from within the orderly realm by another deity, Ninurta for Anzu, Išum for Erra; and to carry it out, this other deity must take on the violent qualities of the deity he opposes, thus mediating between that opposite and the orderly realm” (Machinist 2005: 47).

<sup>73</sup> It is no accident that twice in the Erra epic, Erra is called Nergal (III C 31 and V 39), who is in other texts associated with the slayer of the monsters, Ninurta (Machinist 2005: 48).

šá-áš-šá-ru [a]p-p[a-šú x x]                      A saw was [his] beak [...]

Later in the same epic, this birth-place is referred back to as “its (= Anzu’s) mountain” (*šadūssu*), where it flies with the stolen Tablet of Destinies.<sup>74</sup> The same mountain is listed in the series of *Lipšur*-litanies as an Amorite locality. The name of the mountain occurs already in a mythological text of Ebla as *sa-sa-ru*<sub>12</sub> and it may refer to the Jebel Bishri (Lambert 1989: 17–18). In Babylonian literature and historical records, the mountain occurs as the homeland of wicked Suteans, the West-Semitic nomads, who posed an enduring threat to the sedentary population of Mesopotamia. Defeat of the Suteans and the establishment of border defences against them in conjunction with the mountain Sharshar is mentioned by the time of the Middle Babylonian king Kadashman-Harbe (see Grayson 1975: 171–72 I 5–9). According to the god list *Anu ša amēli*, Sharshar was the god of Sutean nomads, who was equated with the Babylonian cattle god Sumuqan.<sup>75</sup> In the Erra poem IV 137–51, the same mountain is flattened by the god Ishum in revenge for the devastation of Babylonia by the Suteans:

“The warrior Erra said these words to Ishum his vanguard, “Go, Ishum, the matter you spoke of, do as you wish.” Ishum set out for the mountain Sharshar, the Seven, warriors unrivalled, fell in behind him. When the Warriors reached the mountain Sharshar, he raised his hand and destroyed the mountain, he reckoned the mountain Sharshar as level ground. He cut away the trunks of the cedar forest, the thicket looked as if the deluge had passed over, he laid waste cities and turned them into open spaces, he obliterated mountains and slew their wildlife, he convulsed the sea and destroyed its increase, he brought the stillness of death upon swamp and thicket, burning like fire, he cursed the beasts and returned them to clay” (Foster 2005: 908).

The parallel to the epic of Anzu is evident: as Ninurta defeats Anzu at its mountain, so Ishum helps to restore the divine order by flattening Sharshar and destroying the Suteans. Additionally, the mountain name occurs as one of the names of Ninurta himself, as “Sharsharra, Ninurta of devastation” in the god-list *Anu ša amēli*.<sup>76</sup> The same name of the mountainous domicile implies that Suteans and Anzu belong to the same category of demonic beings, and the two fill the same role in the divine plan. According to my view it is highly relevant that the “Sutean” is not only an ethnic term, but also belongs to the nomenclature of Mesopotamian witches. The Sutean man and woman occur in *Maqlû* III 77–87 as witches, so the Suteans are a part of the Babylonian host of malicious

<sup>74</sup> Anzu I 83, 110, 131, 152; II 29, 147. The reading of the mountain’s name as Šár-šár is ensured by a gloss in the lexical text *Urra* XXII: kur.šár<sup>šá-ar-šá-ár</sup>šár (George 2009: 12). That this reading of the signs HI-HI is correct, indicates parallelism in the quoted Anzu passage with the word *šaššāru* “saw” (Lambert 1989: 17–18; George 2009: 12–14).

<sup>75</sup> Line 104: <sup>d</sup>šár-šár <sup>d</sup>Sumuqan šá su-ti-i (Litke 1998 : 236). The name <sup>d</sup>šár-šár occurs in the god list An = *Anum* II 163 as a name of Ea (Litke 1998 : 87), and Ea is equated with Sumuqan in An = *Anum* III 198. All this speaks for a local Syrian image of Ea as a herdsman’s god (George 2009: 14).

<sup>76</sup> Line 72: <sup>d</sup>šár-šár-ra <sup>d</sup>Ninurta(MAŠ) šá na-áš-pan-te (Litke 1998 : 233).

beings. In this incantation, the fire-god Girra and the River-god are invoked to destroy the “Sutean” and “Elamite” witches.<sup>77</sup>

The mountain or the mountain range Sharshar also had another name, Tid(a)nu, “the mountain of the Amorites”.<sup>78</sup> Among the Amorite tribal names *sutu* and *tidnu*, the latter with variants *tidanu* or *ditnu*, were often interchangeable and denoted the same group of nomads (see Annus 1999: 18). Tid(a)nu was the name of its deified eponymous ancestor, who had an iconographic representation of human-faced bull or bison. This monstrous being was subordinated to sun-god Shamash, who, in his capacity as a warrior god held it under his control. Under its Akkadian name *kusarikku*, the human-faced bull firmly belonged to the inventory of Mesopotamian demons. According to the Anzu epic, Ninurta had defeated *kusarikku* in “the midst of the sea” (I 12) as a specimen of his exploits. On some pictorial representations Shamash subdues the human-faced bison, who is leaning against a mountain, being the personification of Mount Sharshar. Apparently some myth or oral tradition told how Shamash defeated human-headed bull Ditanu, of which event no written testimony is available. However, this explains why the beast was associated with sun-god in art and literature (Steinkeller 1992: 261). These convergences show that many gods related to devastating flood and fire, such as Ninurta, Ishum, or Shamash, regularly subdued monsters, who were associated with the area of the Sharshar mountain.<sup>79</sup>

According to I. M. Diakonoff, there is a linguistic and historical connection between the Amorite tribe Suteans in cuneiform sources and the biblical Seth (*Šēṭ*), son of Adam (Diakonoff 1982: 19). To propose a connection between these two names may seem to be far-fetched, but in the light of some comparative evidence it becomes plausible. *Sutī’ū* was the usual term for Western Semitic shepherd tribes in Mesopotamia which is apparently the transcription of Amorite *Šēṭī’u* which means “descendants of Šutu/ Šitu” (Diakonoff 1982: 19). The “sons of Seth” are mentioned in the oracle of Balaam in Numbers 24: 17-18 as the enemies of the Israelite monarchy, like the Suteans are presented as the

<sup>77</sup> *Maqlū* III 77–87: “Beschwörung: ‘Es umschliesst mich der Sutäer, der Elamier verfolgt mich, es bedeckt mich die Flut, ein Wogenschwall wälzt mich nieder! Die Hexe ist eine Sutäerin – gewaltig ist ihr Griff, die ‘Lügnerische’ ist eine Elamierin – ihr Griff ist der Tod. Gira, Gefährte des Šamaš, tritt herbei, so dass sie durch Schwefel ruhig werden wie ein Berg. Möge Gira die Hexereien, Zaubereien (und) magischen Manipulationen meiner Hexe, meiner ‘Lügnerischen’ verbrennen, möge der reine Flussgott ihr Herz zerschlagen, möge das reine Flusswasser ihre Hexereien lösen, ich aber möge rein sein wie der Flussgott in meinem Gebirge!’” (Abusch and Schwemer 2008: 149–150). Suteans occur as witches also in *Maqlū* IV 125–129 (Schwemer 2007: 79–81), and in an incantation translated in Foster 2005: 983.

<sup>78</sup> In Gudea Statue B vi 13–14, see Steinkeller 1992: 261.

<sup>79</sup> In another paper I have argued that the Greek word for Titans (Titanes) derives from the Semitic *ditānu* and its variants (see Annus 1999). Given the close connection of the Suteans with the tribal name Ditanu, one can plausibly speculate whether the Greek word for netherworld, *Tartaros*, derives from the mountain name Sharshar. To explain this etymology, a comparison can be made between Zeus imprisoning the rebel Titans in Tartaros, Ishum flattening the mountain Sharshar and Shamash subduing the Tidnu monster by the same mountain.

enemies of Babylon in the Erra epic and other sources. In Balaam's oracle, they are mentioned in the same breath with the locality called  $\text{Š}^e \text{'ir}$  ( $\text{š } \text{'yr}$ ):

"I see him, but not now; I behold him, but not nigh: a star shall come forth out of Jacob, and a scepter shall rise out of Israel; it shall crush the forehead of Moab, and break down all the sons of Sheth. Edom shall be dispossessed, Se'ir also, his enemies, shall be dispossessed, while Israel does valiantly."

The rules of symmetry of Hebrew poetry compels to read "all the sons of Sheth" in parallel to both Moab and Seir. One can see a parallel between Suteans on Sharshar and "Sons of Seth" in the land of Seir, although these two names probably do not refer to the same locality. But if the names are of mythological import, this can be viewed as parallel.<sup>80</sup>

The portrayals of the figure of Seth and descriptions of the "sons of Seth" as a group in various Jewish and non-Jewish sources can be shown to be dependent on the Mesopotamian *apkallu* tradition. Seth is often depicted as possessing great antediluvian wisdom and credited with important inventions for the mankind. The Jewish writer Josephus knew about a mysterious land Seiris in connection with the "sons of Seth" (*Ant.* 1.68–71). When Adam predicted the two-fold destruction of mankind through water and fire, the sons of Seth erected two steles, one of brick and one of stone, to preserve their discoveries of "the science of the heavenly bodies and their orderly array" (Stroumsa 1984: 107):

"He [= Sethos], after being nurtured and coming to the prime of life that is able to judge beautiful things, strove after virtue and, being himself excellent, left descendants who imitated the same virtues. All of these, being virtuous, lived in happiness in the same land without civil strife, with nothing unpleasant coming upon them until their death. And they discovered the science with regard to the heavenly bodies and their orderly arrangement. And in order that humanity might not lose their discoveries or perish before they came to be known, Adamos having predicted that there would be an extermination of the universe, at one time by violent fire and at another time by a force with an abundance of water, they made two pillars, one of brick and the other of stones and inscribed their findings on both, in order that if the one of brick should be lost owing to the flood the one of stone should remain and offer an opportunity to teach men what had been written on it and to reveal that also one of brick had been set up by them. And it remains until today in the land of Seiris" (Feldman 2000: 24–26).

---

<sup>80</sup> Some parts of Jewish exegesis, and the Syrian fathers, equated both the "sons of God" in Gen. 6:2 and the "sons of Shet" in the oracle of Balaam with the sons of Adam's son Seth (see Stroumsa 1984: 125–34). Note also that the book of Ezechiel in chapter 35 presents an unfavourable prophecy for the mount Seir that is very similar in phraseology to the passage in Erra IV 137–151.

The tradition of Adam's knowledge and Seth's pillars or tablets has survived in some other Jewish works as well.<sup>81</sup> The mysterious land of Seiris, Seres, or Šir often occurs as the origin of revelatory writings in the late antiquity (see Reinink 1975). It is found in Hippolytos' report in *Refutatio Haer.* 9.13.1–3, where a certain Alkibiades from Apamea in Syria brought to Rome a book of revelations of the Mesopotamian baptismal sect Elxaites. This happened in the early second century C.E., and the miraculous book originated "from the Seres of Parthia" (Greek: *apo Sērōn tēs Parthias*). This book was revealed by giants and it opens with a vision of two enormous angelic beings, the former being referred to as "hidden Power", son of God, while the latter is called the feminine "Holy Spirit" (see Luttkhuizen 1985). Accordingly, Seres was a land where divine giants were still thought to live in early Christian age. In Syriac Christian legends about Christ, the dwelling place of Sethians and their descendants, the Magi, is a mountain with the cognate name, Šir. Both the Syriac *Chronicle of Zuqnin* and Latin *Opus imperfectum in Matthaëum* of Pseudo-Chrysostom mention the "Mount of Victories",<sup>82</sup> which was located in the Oriental land of Šir upon which "book(s) of Seth" were hidden. The Magi climbed this mountain once a month and from there they finally noticed the star that would guide them to Bethlehem. The mountain Seiris was sometimes conflated with the mountain of the flood story, because the obvious place where the writings would be safe from the flood was Mount Ararat (Stroumsa 1984: 116). In the Syriac "Cave of Treasures" Mount Ararat is the Mount of Victories, which was also the original dwelling place of the Sethite Noah. This tradition poses Noah as a transmitter of Seth's knowledge (Stroumsa 1984: 117).

The obvious implication of the earliest source for Seth's writings, Josephus *Ant.* 1.71, is that Seiris was the land of the early Sethites, the place where they had once lived "without dissension and in prosperity" and where they had erected the steles, recording the antediluvian knowledge. It is this same land that Noah, a Sethite, was later said to have left (*Ant.* 1.76). The Tablet of Destinies which Ninurta gains back from Anzu after the battle on its mountain Sharshar, is probably a related tradition. The Suteans and the mountain Sharshar has a undeniable parallel with "the sons of Seth" and the locality called Seir(is) both in the oracle of Balaam in Numbers and in the account of Josephus. But the tradition is inverted by the Jewish writers in a way that evil has become good. The first catastrophe predicted by Adam according to Josephus by means of the devastating fire is related to that which is told of in the Epic of Erra, because Ishum is

---

<sup>81</sup> In the *Life of Adam and Eve* 49–50 it is told that Eve gathered before Adam's death Seth, his brothers and sisters together and reported to them what archangel Michael told to her and her husband: "Because of your collusion, our Lord will bring over your race the wrath of his judgement, first by water and then by fire; by these two the Lord will judge the whole human race. But listen to me, my children! Make now tablets of stone and other tablets of clay and write all my life and your father's which you have heard and seen from us. If he should judge our race by water, the tablets of earth will dissolve and the tablets of stone will remain; but if he should judge our race by fire, the tablets of stone will break up and those of clay will be thoroughly baked." The translation is from M. D. Johnson in *The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha* (London 1985), p. 292.

<sup>82</sup> *Mons victorialis* in Latin, *tur neṣḥanē* in Syriac.

the god of fire (Akkadian *išātu*). The second devastation through the “abundance of water” has a forerunner in Ninurta’s activities against Anzu, because Ninurta is deluge (see Annus 2002: 123–33). Moreover, as pointed out above, the pair of water and fire figures prominently in Mesopotamian anti-witchcraft texts and rituals, where they are the main deities invoked to purify the patient and to destroy witches.

The Qumran passage from the *Book of Giants*, where the garden of the Watchers is destroyed by water and fire (4Q530 ii 10) reflects an eschatological conception of a dual cosmic destruction, one of which employs water, and the other fire. The oldest form of it appears in Plato’s *Timaeus* 21E–22E, where it is imparted to Greek sage Solon by an Egyptian priest, who teaches that the sublunary world periodically undergoes destruction by both conflagrations and floods. In the Hellenistic era, this doctrine is attested in a variety of Jewish and Christian sources.<sup>83</sup> The idea of a recurrent destruction of the earth by water and fire occurs in a fragment of the astrological teachings of Berossus, quoted by Seneca in his *Naturales Quaestiones* 3.28.7–29.1:

“[Deluge will take place] in the same principle in which the conflagration will occur. Both will occur when it seems best to god for the old things to be ended and better things to begin. Water and fire dominate earthly things. From them is the origin, from them the death. Therefore whenever a renewal for the universe is decided, the sea is sent against us from above, like raging fire, when another form of destruction is decided upon. Berossus, who interpreted Belus, says that these catastrophes occur with the movements of the planets. Indeed, he is so certain that he assigns a date for the conflagration and the deluge. For earthly things will burn, he contends, when all the planets which now maintain different orbits come together in the sign of Cancer, and are so arranged in the same path that a straight line can pass through the spheres of all of them. The deluge will occur when the same group of planets meets in the sign of Capricorn. They are signs of great power since they are the turning-points in the very change of the year.”<sup>84</sup>

The scholars of Gnostic mythology are well aware that the figure of Seth plays a very prominent role in the Gnostic texts of “Sethian” type. In the same time the patriarch Enoch seems to play no role at all in these texts. It is clear that Seth in Gnostic mythology “replaces” Enoch for certain circles, and for some ideological reasons. Moreover, Seth’s wisdom is not only important in “Gnostic” mythology. The later Byzantine chronologists Suidas, Michael Glycas, Johannes Malalas and Joel reproduced the tradition of Seth’s astronomical knowledge and mentioned also his invention of Hebrew letters, as well as the division

<sup>83</sup> See Klijn 1977: 121–124; Stroumsa 1984: 106–113; Reeves 1992: 88–89, 145–146.

<sup>84</sup> Translation is by T. Corcoran, from Loeb edition. The authenticity of the passage has sometimes been questioned, and attributed to a “Pseudo-Berossus”, see the bibliography in Reeves 1992: 145. However, there is no serious reason to doubt in it, as Drews has written: “logic suggests that no impostor would borrow Berossus name for a pamphlet on astrology, unless Berossus were already known as an authority on the subject” (1975: 52). See Drews 1975: 50–55 for a full discussion.

of time in weeks, months, and years. Seth is said to have received this knowledge from the angel Uriel, who came to him as he later came to Enoch (Feldman 2000: 24 n. 164). Malalas writes that the people called Seth “God” because he invented Hebrew letters, the service otherwise attributed to Enoch, he possessed a knowledge of astrology and because of his piety (Klijn 1977: 40). In the *Life of Adam and Eve* (ch. 25–29) Adam imparted to Seth antediluvian knowledge about God on his *Merkabah*. Seth is scribe and astrologer and he has written revelatory books before the flood (see Klijn 1977: 48–60).

While the Mesopotamian model for the figure of Enoch has been amply discussed and recognised by the biblical scholars, there have been no proposals as to the origin of the Saviour Seth in the Jewish and Gnostic mythology. The Jewish origins of the ‘Sethian Gnosis’ are undisputable, but another question is what gave the impetus for so high regard of the patriarch. It seems that the inverted versions of Mesopotamian mythological texts, such as Anzu and Erra may have been operative. The “sons of Seth” in these versions are the owners of the antediluvian wisdom and Seth is the ancestor of the “immovable race” which the rulers of the world (= Mesopotamian gods) try to annihilate by the two world catastrophes.

### The term for Watchers in Aramaic and Akkadian

The Aramaic term for “Watchers” (‘yr) must have come about as an adaptation of Akkadian term *maššaru*, the term which denoted specialized guards for gates, doors, walls, etc., but also divine guardians and their representations in private houses and temples (see CAD s.v.). The verbal root ‘wr in Hebrew means “(to be) awake”, and Syriac ‘r, with participle ‘īr means “(to be) awake, watch”. Hence the Aramaic term means ‘wakeful one’. The expression ‘yr̄yn came to denote angelic beings, whether they are good or rebellious, or could be used neutrally to refer to angels in general (Stuckenbruck 1997: 84). The cognate verb in Akkadian is *ēru*, “to be awake” (CAD E 326).

As S. Denning-Bolle perceptively notes, the form of the fish-*apkallu* is “linked with the secrets that dwell in the deep; and its never-closing, ever-watchful eyes lend it an omniscient sagacity” (1992: 52). The idea that divine guardians do not sleep is familiar not only from the Hebrew Bible (e.g. Ps 121), but in the area where Aramaic succeeded Akkadian, from even older literature (Murray 1984: 315). The Assyrian text KAR 58 is a hymn to Nusku, the ritual to obtain a pleasant dream, with an address to the dream god Anzagar (Butler 1998: 339–347). The reverse of the tablet, lines 12ff., contains a prayer to personified watches of the night, which ascribes to the “watchers” a certain surveillance of human life (Murray 1984: 306):

“May the evening watch, the midnight watch, the morning watch, may night bring me (a dream), let me sound your praises. O [An]zagar, Anzagar, who brings (dreams) to humankind, messenger of prince Marduk, O Nightfall, awesomeness of the nighttime, O three watches of the night, who are wakeful, watchful, alert, and non-sleeping, you will grant a ver-

dict to wakeful and sleeping, you will fulfil your responsibility, you will look out all night until the morning watch” (Foster 2005: 718).

This prayer was probably also incorporated into the series of *Bīt Mēseri*.<sup>85</sup> The text from Assur, KAR 298, which prescribes the making apotropaic *apkallu* figurines, often quotes the first line of otherwise unknown incantation *attunu šalmē apkallē mašsarē* “You are the *apkallu*-figures, the watchers” (e.g. line 14). Unfortunately, the full text of this incantation does not survive on cuneiform tablets. This incantation was recited to the figurines of *apkallus*, the “watchers”, after they were buried under the floor of buildings as guardians. After all, their interred state may have contributed to understanding of them as “fallen” angels. In the Hebrew Bible, the term Nephilim also refers to heroes’ “fallen” status as dead, and presumably buried, at the time when the events are recorded. In any case, the *apkallu*-sages had clearly a function of “Watchers” in Mesopotamian mythology, and their well attested connection with demons and other malicious beings makes them very probable predecessors and a source of origin for the Jewish Watchers.

### Conclusion

The conclusions of this paper were already foreshadowed in the summary of arguments in the Introduction. The mythology of Watchers and their sons the giants derived from inverted versions of various Mesopotamian myths and beliefs about *apkallus*. In some parts or layers of Mesopotamian mythology and ritual practices, the sages were already regarded as dangerous and potentially malicious creatures, upon which the Jewish authors could build their parody. There is a certain structural affinity, not explicitly mentioned in this paper, between the antediluvian *apkallus* and the Watchers on one hand, and between postdiluvian sages and the giants, the sons of the Watchers. The first two groups were fully divine, while the second two groups were only two-thirds or half-divine. However, it would be wrong to expect perfect correspondences in a matter that ultimately belongs to folklore. Although the Jewish authors were borrowing from Mesopotamia, they did so in a creative and polemical spirit, adding concepts and details not found in the sources they used.

One of the more important conclusions of this study is that the mythology of Watchers cannot be a phenomenon invented only through inner-Jewish discussions without outside sources. The Book of Watchers evidently belongs to the same layer of Jewish polemical literature, which also depicted Daniel as a scholar in the court of the Babylonian king. Accordingly, the date of the composition of the Book of Watchers may belong to the same period as that of the book of Daniel. However, the oral traditions concerning Watchers may be much older than this literature. For the present author, there is no doubt that the author of Gen. 6:1–4 already knew a variant of the mythology of Watchers that (s)he

---

<sup>85</sup> See Butler 1998: 339–340. The world of Assyriology is patiently waiting for the forthcoming edition of the *Bīt Mēseri* series by prof. R. Borger. Until the edition has not published, it cannot be said with certainty that the whole text of KAR 58 belongs to this series.

retells in an abbreviated version (cf. Milik 1976: 31). The birth of the oral lore about Watchers must belong to a period in history, when the Jewish culture was extensively exposed to Babylonian influences. An obvious candidate for such a period is the Babylonian exile.

## References

- Abusch, Tzvi. 2002. *Mesopotamian Witchcraft: Toward a History and Understanding of Babylonian Witchcraft Beliefs and Literature*. Ancient Magic and Divination, 5. Leiden: Brill/ Styx.
- Abusch, Tzvi, and Daniel Schwemer. 2008. "Das Abwehrzauber-Ritual Maqlû ("Verbrennung")." In: Janowski, B. and G. Wilhelm (Hrsg.). *Omina, Orakel, Rituale und Beschwörungen*. Texte aus der Umwelt des Alten Testament, Neue Folge, Bd. 4, 128–186. Gütersloh: Gütersloher Verlagshaus.
- Annus, Amar. 1999. "Are There Greek Rephaim? On the Etymology of Greek Meropes and Titanes." *Ugarit-Forschungen* 31: 13–30.
- Annus, Amar. 2002. *The God Ninurta in the Mythology and Royal Ideology of Ancient Mesopotamia*. State Archives of Assyria Studies 14, Helsinki: The Neo-Assyrian Text Corpus Project.
- Arbel, Daphna. 2006. "Divine Secrets and Divination." In: DeConick, April D. (ed.) *Paradise Now: Essays on Early Jewish and Christian Mysticism*. Pp. 355–379. Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature.
- Bautch, Kelly Coblenz. 2006. "Situating the Afterlife." In DeConick, April D. (ed.) *Paradise Now: Essays on Early Jewish and Christian Mysticism*. Pp. 249–264. Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature.
- Boccaccini, Gabriele. 1998. *Beyond the Essene Hypothesis: The Parting of the Ways between Qumran and Enochic Judaism*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans.
- Borger, Rykle. 1994. "The Incantation Series *Bīt Mēseri* and Enoch's Ascension to Heaven." In: Hess, Richard S. and David T. Tsumura (eds). *I Studied Inscriptions from before the Flood: Ancient Near Eastern, Literary, and Linguistic Approaches to Genesis 1–11*. Sources for Biblical and Theological Study, Vol. 4. Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns.
- Burstein, Stanley M. 1978 *The Babyloniaca of Berossus*. Sources from the Ancient Near East 1.5. Malibu: Undena Publications.
- Butler, S. A. L. 1998. *Mesopotamian Conceptions of Dreams and Dream Rituals*. AOAT 258. Münster: Ugarit.
- Cagni, Luigi. 1969. *L'Epopea di Erra*. Studi Semitici 34. Rome: Istituto di Studi Vicino Oriente.
- Collins, John J. 1998 *The Apocalyptic Imagination: An Introduction to Jewish Apocalyptic Literature*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans.
- Collins, John J. 2008. "The Sons of God and the Daughters of Men." In: Nissinen, M. and R. Uro (eds.). *Sacred Marriages: The Divine-Human Sexual Metaphor from Sumer to Early Christianity*, pp. 259–274. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns.
- Cooper, Jerrold S. 1980. "Apodotic Death and the Historicity of 'Historical' Omens." In: Alster, B. (ed.). *Death in Mesopotamia*. Pp. 99–105. Mesopotamia 8. Copenhagen: Akademisk Forlag.

- Davenport, Tracy. 2007. "An Anti-Imperialist Twist to 'The Gilgameš Epic.'" In: Azize, J. and N. Weeks (eds.). *Gilgameš and the World of Assyria: Proceedings of the Conference held at Mandelbaum House, The University of Sydney, 21–23 July 2004*, pp. 1–23. Ancient Near Eastern Studies, Supplement 21. Leuven, Paris, Dudley MA: Peeters.
- Denning-Bolle, Sara. 1992. *Wisdom in Akkadian Literature: Expression, Instruction, Dialogue*. Medelingen en verhandelingen van het vooraziatisch-egyptisch genootschap "Ex Oriente Lux" 28. Leiden: Ex Oriente Lux.
- Diakonoff, I. M. 1982. "Father Adam." *AfO Beiheft* 19: 16–24.
- Drews, Robert. 1975. "The Babylonian Chronicles and Berossus." *Iraq* 37: 39–55.
- Feldman, Louis 2000. *Flavius Josephus: Judean Antiquities 1–4, Translation and Commentary*. Leiden: Brill.
- Foster, Benjamin. 2005. *Before the Muses: An Anthology of Akkadian Literature*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Bethesda: CDL Press.
- Frahm, Eckart 2010 "Reading the Tablet, the Exta, and the Body: The Hermeneutics of Cuneiform Signs in Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries and Divinatory Texts." In: A. Annus (ed.), *Divination and Interpretation of Signs in the Ancient World*. Oriental Institute Seminars 6. Chicago: The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago.
- Geller, Mark J. 2005. "Tablets and Magic Bowls." In: Sh. Shaked (ed.), *Officina Magica: Essays on the Practice of Magic in Antiquity*. Institute of Jewish Studies in Judaica, Vol. 4. Leiden, Boston: Brill, pp. 53–72.
- Geller, Mark J. 2007. *Evil Demons: Canonical Utukkū Lemnūtu Incantations*. State Archives of Assyria Cuneiform Text, v. 5. Helsinki: Neo-Assyrian Text Corpus Project.
- George, Andrew R. 1988. "Babylonian texts from the folios of Sydney Smith." *Revue d'assyriologie* 82: 139–162.
- George, Andrew R. 2003. *The Babylonian Gilgameš Epic: Introduction, Critical Edition and Cuneiform Texts*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- George, Andrew R. 2007. "The Gilgameš epic at Ugarit." *Aula Orientalis* 25: 237–254.
- George, Andrew R. 2009 *Babylonian Literary Texts in the Schøyen Collection*. Cornell University Studies in Assyriology and Sumerology 10. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press.
- Grayson A. K. 1975. *Assyrian and Babylonian Chronicles*. Texts from Cuneiform Sources 5. New York: J. J. Augustin.
- Green, Anthony. 1984. "Beneficent Spirits and Malevolent Demons: The Iconography of Good and Evil in Ancient Assyria and Babylonia." *Visible Religion: Annual for Religious Iconography* 3 (1984): 80–105.
- Hallo, William. 1996. *Origins: the Ancient Near Eastern Background of Some Modern Western Institutions*. Leiden: Brill.
- Himmelfarb, Martha 1993 *The Ascent to Heaven in Jewish and Christian Apocalypses*. New York; Oxford : Oxford University Press.
- Horowitz, Wayne. 1998. *Mesopotamian Cosmic Geography*. Mesopotamian Civilizations, v. 8. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns.

- Hunger, Hermann. 1968. *Babylonische und Assyrische Kolophone*. AOAT 2. Neukirchen-Vluyn.
- Izre'el, Shlomo. 2001. *Adapa and the South Wind*. Mesopotamian Civilizations 10, Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns.
- Jackson, David R. 2007. "Demonising Gilgameš." In: Azize, J. and N. Weeks (eds.). *Gilgameš and the World of Assyria: Proceedings of the Conference held at Mandelbaum House, The University of Sydney, 21–23 July 2004*, pp. 107–114. Ancient Near Eastern Studies, Supplement 21. Leuven, Paris, Dudley MA: Peeters.
- Jung, Leo. 1926. *Fallen Angels in Jewish, Christian, and Mohammedan Literature*. Philadelphia.
- Kilmer, Anne D. 1987. "The Mesopotamian Counterparts of the Biblical Nipilim." In: Conrad, E. W. and E. G. Newing (eds.). *Perspectives on Language and Text: Essays and Poems in Honor of Francis I. Andersen's Sixtieth Birthday July 28, 1985*. Pp. 39–44. Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns.
- Klijn, A. F. J. 1977. *Seth in Jewish, Christian and Gnostic Literature*. SNT 46. Leiden: Brill.
- Kvanvig, Helge S. 1988. *Roots of Apocalyptic: The Mesopotamian Background of the Enoch Figure and of the Son of Man*. WMANT 61. Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag.
- Lambert, Wilfried G. 1962 "A Catalogue of Texts and Authors." JCS 16: 59–77.
- Lambert, W. G. 1967. "Enmeduranki and Related Matters." *Journal of Cuneiform Studies* 21: 126–38.
- Lambert, W. G. 1974 "The Seed of Kingship." In: P. Garelli (ed.). *Le Palais et royauté (archéologie et civilisation)*. 19e Rencontre Assyriologique Internationale. Paris: 427–440.
- Lambert, W. G. 1989. "Notes on a Work of the most Ancient Semitic Literature." *Journal of Cuneiform Studies* 41: 1–33.
- Lambert, W. G. 1998. "The Qualifications of Babylonian Diviners." In: S. M. Maul (ed.). *Festschrift für Rykle Borger zu seinem 65. Geburtstag am 24. Mai 1994*. Groningen, pp. 141–158.
- Lenzi, Alan. 2008. *Secrecy and the Gods: Secret Knowledge in Ancient Mesopotamia and Biblical Israel*. State Archives of Assyria Studies 19. Helsinki: Neo-Assyrian Text Corpus Project.
- Lenzi, Alan. 2008a. "The Uruk List of Kings and Sages and Late Mesopotamian Scholarship." *Journal of Ancient Near Eastern Religions* 8: 137–169.
- Lewis, Theodore. 1996. "CT 13.33–34 and Ezechiel 32: Lion-Dragon Myths." *JAOS* 116: 28–47.
- Linssen, Marc J. H. 2004. *The Cults of Uruk and Babylon: The Temple Ritual Texts as Evidence for Hellenistic Cult Practice*. Cuneiform Monographs 25. Leiden: Brill.
- Litke, Richard L. 1998. *A Reconstruction of the Assyro-Babylonian God-Lists: AN: <sup>d</sup>A-nu-um and AN: Anu šá amēli*. Texts from the Babylonian Collection 3. New Haven: Yale Babylonian Collection.

- Loader, William R. G. 2007. *Enoch, Levi, and Jubilees on Sexuality: Attitudes toward Sexuality in the Early Enoch Literature, the Aramaic Levi Document, and the Book of Jubilees*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans.
- Luttikhuisen, Gerard P. 1985 *The Revelation of Elchasai: Investigation into the Evidence for a Mesopotamian Jewish Apocalypse of the Second Century and its Reception by Judeo-Christian Propagandists*. Texte und Studien zum antiken Judentum 8. Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr.
- Machinist, Peter. 2005. "Order and Disorder: Some Mesopotamian Reflections." In: Shaked, S. (ed.) *Genesis and Regeneration: Essays on Conceptions of Origins*. Pp. 31–61. Jerusalem.
- Mallowan, M. E. L. 1954. "The Excavations at Nimrud (Kalhu), 1953." *Iraq* 16: 59–114.
- Martone, Corrado. 2008. "The Enochic Tradition and the Diversity of Second Temple Judaism." *Henoch* 30: 51–55.
- Maul, Stefan M. 1994. *Zukunftsbewältigung: eine Untersuchung altorientalischen Denkens anhand der babylonisch-assyrischen Löserituelle (Namburbi)*. Mainz am Rhein: Verlag Philipp von Zabern.
- Maul, Stefan M. 2003. "Omina und Orakel. A. Mesopotamien." In: *Reallexikon der Assyriologie und Vorderasiatischen Archäologie* 10: 45–88.
- Mettinger, Tryggve N. D. 2007. *The Eden Narrative: A Literary and Religio-Historical Study of Genesis 2–3*. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns.
- Milik, J. T. 1976 *The Books of Enoch: Aramaic Fragments of Qumran Cave 4*. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Murray, Robert. 1984. "The Origin of Aramaic 'ir, Angel." *Orientalia* 53: 303–317.
- Nickelsburg, George W. E. 1991. *1 Enoch: A Commentary on the Book of 1 Enoch, Chapters 1–36; 81–108*. Hermeneia. Minneapolis: Fortress Press.
- Noegel, Scott. 1998. "The Aegean Ogygos of Boeotia and Biblical Og of Bashan: Reflections of the Same Myth." *ZAW* 110: 411–426.
- Orlov, Andrei A. 2005. *The Enoch-Metatron Tradition*. Texte und Studien zum antiken Judentum 107. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck.
- Parpola, Simo. 1993. *Letters from Assyrian and Babylonian Scholars*. State Archives of Assyria 10. Helsinki: Helsinki University Press.
- Pongratz-Leisten, Beate. 1999. *Herrschaftswissen in Mesopotamien: Formen der Kommunikation zwischen Gott und König im 2. und 1. Jahrtausend v. Chr.* State Archives of Assyria Studies 10. Helsinki.
- Reeves, John C. 1992 *Jewish Lore in Manichaean Cosmology: Studies in the Book of Giants*. Cincinnati: Hebrew Union College Press.
- Reeves, John C. 1993 "Utnapishtim in the Book of Giants?" *Journal of Biblical Literature* 112: 110–115.
- Reinink, G. J. 1975 "Das Land 'Seiris' (Šir) und das Volk der Serer in jüdischen und christlichen Traditionen." *Journal for the Study of Judaism* 6: 72–85.
- Rochberg, Francesca. 1999. "Continuity and Change in Omen Literature." In: Böck, B.; E. Cancik-Kirschbaum, and T. Richter (eds). *Munuscula Mesopotamica. Festschrift für Johannes Renger*, pp. 415–25. Münster: Ugarit.
- Saggs, H. W. F. 1986. "Additions to Anzu." *Archiv für Orientforschung* 33: 1–29.

- Schwemer, Daniel. 2007. *Abwehrzauber und Behexung: Studien zum Schadenzauberglauben im alten Mesopotamien*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Steinkeller, Piotr. 1992. "Early Semitic Literature and Third Millennium Seals with Mythological Motifs." In: Fronzaroli, Pelio (ed.). *Literature and Literary Language at Ebla*. Quaderni di Semitistica 18: 245–83.
- Stroumsa, Gedaliahu A. G. 1984. *Another Seed: Studies in Gnostic Mythology*. Nag Hammadi Studies 24. Leiden: Brill.
- Stuckenbruck, Loren T. 1997. *The Book of Giants from Qumran: Texts, Translation, and Commentary*. Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr.
- Toorn, Karel van der 2007 *Scribal Culture and the Making of the Hebrew Bible*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- VanderKam, James C. 1984. *Enoch and the Growth of an Apocalyptic Tradition*. CBQMS 16. Washington DC: Catholic Biblical Association of America.
- Walker, Christopher and Michael B. Dick. 1999. "The Induction of the Cult Image in Ancient Mesopotamia: The Mesopotamian *mīs pi* Ritual." In: Dick, Michael B. (ed.). *Born in Heaven, Made on Earth: The Making of the Cult Image in the Ancient Near East*. Pp. 55–122. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns.
- Wazana, Nili 2008 "Anzu and Ziz: Great Mythical Birds in the Ancient Near Eastern, Biblical, and Rabbinic Traditions." JANES 31: 111–135.
- Weiher, Egbert von. 1983. *Spätbabylonische Texte aus Uruk*, Teil 2. Ausgrabungen der Deutschen Forschungsgemeinschaft in Uruk-Warka 10. Berlin: Mann.
- Weissert, Elnathan. 1997. "Creating a Political Climate: Literary Allusions to Enūma Eliš in Sennacherib's Account of the Battle of Halule". In: H. Waetzoldt, H. Hauptmann (Hrsg.) *Assyrien im Wandel der Zeiten*. Heidelberger Studien zum Alten Orient 6. Heidelberg: Orientverlag, 191–202.
- Wiggermann, Frans. 1992. *Mesopotamian Protective Spirits: The Ritual Texts*. Cuneiform Monographs 1. Groningen: Styx and PP Publications.
- Wiggermann, Frans. 1994. "Mischwesen. A." *Reallexikon der Assyriologie und Vorderasiatischen Archäologie* 8: 222–244.